A Supernova Equation of State with Light and Heavy Clusters

Stefan Typel

Excellence Cluster Universe, Technische Universität München GSI Darmstadt, Theorie

in collaboration with

Gerd Röpke (Universität Rostock) Thomas Klähn (Argonne National Laboratory) David Blaschke (Uniwersytet Wrocławski) Hermann Wolter (LMU München) Maria Voskresenskaya (GSI Darmstadt)

Motivation I

• equation of state (EoS) of dense matter:

essential ingredient in many astrophysical models (supernovae calculations, compact star models, . . .)

- \Rightarrow static properties and dynamical evolution
- \Rightarrow energetics, temperature, composition, . . .
- properties required for large range of conditions
 - density: $10^{-8} \leq n/n_{\rm sat} \leq 10$
 - \circ temperature: $0 \le T \lesssim 100 \text{ MeV}$
 - \circ proton fraction: $0 \leq Y_p \lesssim 0.6$

(or isospin asymmetry $\beta = 1 - 2Y_p$)

 \Rightarrow practical global approach needed

Motivation II

• many EoS developed in the past:

from simple parametizations to sophisticated models

- many investigations of detailed aspects: often restricted to particular conditions
- only few EoS in practical use: e.g.
 - J.M. Lattimer, F.D. Swesty
 (Nucl. Phys. A 535 (1991) 331)
 - H. Shen, H. Toki, K. Oyamatsu, K. Sumiyoshi (Prog. Theor. Phys. 100 (1998) 1013)
- several deficiencies in applied EoS

Motivation III

aim: development of improved EoS for astrophysics

- \circ more microscopic, self-consistent description
- \circ well adjusted model parameters, better constrained
- \circ relativistic approach
- \circ additional particle species/clusters, respecting Bose/Fermi statistics
- \circ cover widest possible range of n , T , Y_p

with special attention to

- consistency
- constraints

Outline

- General Considerations
- Relativistic Mean-Field (RMF) Model
- Constraints
- Light Clusters
- Generalized RMF Model
- Phase Transition
- Heavy Clusters
- Summary and Outlook

• ingredients:

standard

- \circ neutrons
- protons

partly optional

- \circ electrons
 - (inhomogeneous systems with Coulomb interaction)

optional

- o muons
- photons
- \circ neutrinos
- light nuclei/clusters
 (²H, ³H, ³He, ⁴He, ...)
- heavy nuclei/clusters
- hyperons
- other exotica?

(pions, kaons, quarks, . . .)

General Considerations II

• calculation:

input quantities

- \circ total baryon number density \boldsymbol{n}
- \circ baryonic charge fraction Y_q
- \circ temperature T

output quantities

- \circ (free) energy density e (f),
- \circ entropy density s,
- \circ pressure p,
- \circ chemical potentials μ_i ,
- \circ particle abundancies X_i ,
- 0...

two-step procedure

- \circ local minimization of free energy density $f(n, Y_q, T)$ by varying individual particle densities for given conditions n, Y_q, T (e.g. charge neutrality, chemical equilibrium)
- \circ construction of global convexity of free energy density f
 - \Rightarrow phase transition(s)

General Considerations III

• non-hadronic contributions

- homogeneous spatial distribution for uncharged particles
- \circ only Coulomb interaction for charged particles
- \circ simple thermodynamics

• hadronic contributions

o possible general strategy:

start with given nucleon-nucleon interaction, apply machinery of many-body theory . . . (cf. Dirac-Brueckner calculations) . . . too complicated, too limited

- here: more phenomenological approach, combination
 - relativistic mean-field model with density-dependent nucleon-meson couplings
 - generalized Beth-Uhlenbeck approach (\Rightarrow light clusters)
 - modified **Wigner-Seitz cell** in relativistic **Thomas-Fermi approximation** (\Rightarrow heavy clusters)

Relativistic Mean-Field Model I - Lagrangian

• standard Lagrangian density of Walecka type with nucleons (ψ), mesons ($\sigma, \omega_{\mu}, \vec{\rho}_{\mu}$) and photons (A_{μ}) as degrees of freedom

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi} \left[\gamma^{\mu} \left(i \partial_{\mu} - \Gamma_{\omega} \omega_{\mu} - \Gamma_{\rho} \vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\rho}_{\mu} - \Gamma_{\gamma} \frac{1 + \tau_3}{2} A_{\mu} \right) - (m - \Gamma_{\sigma} \sigma) \right] \psi + \mathcal{L}_M$$

contribution of free bosons

$$\mathcal{L}_{M} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\partial_{\mu} \sigma \partial^{\mu} \sigma - m_{\sigma}^{2} \sigma^{2} - \frac{1}{2} G_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} + m_{\omega}^{2} \omega_{\mu} \omega^{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} \vec{H}_{\mu\nu} \cdot \vec{H}^{\mu\nu} + m_{\rho}^{2} \vec{\rho}_{\mu} \cdot \vec{\rho}^{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right]$$

field tensors
$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}$$
 $G_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\omega_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\omega_{\mu}$ $\vec{H}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\vec{\rho}_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\vec{\rho}_{\mu}$

- mesons: convenient auxiliary fields, same quantum numbers as "real" mesons
 only minimal (linear) meson-nucleon couplings
- \circ density-dependent couplings Γ_i , no non-linear meson self-interactions
- ⇒ nucleon/meson/photon field equations, solved in mean-field approximation (Hartree approximation, no-sea approximation, classical meson/photon fields)

Relativistic Mean-Field Model II - Couplings

• nucleon-meson couplings $\Gamma_i = \Gamma_i(\varrho)$

functionals of vector density $\varrho = \sqrt{j_{\mu}j^{\mu}}$ with $j_{\mu} = \bar{\psi}\gamma_{\mu}\psi$

 \circ functional form as suggested by Dirac-Brueckner calculations of nuclear matter

- \circ very flexible approach
- \circ well controlled asymptotics
- ⇒ rearrangement contributions in nucleon self-energies
- $\Rightarrow \text{thermodynamical consistency}$ $p_{\text{field}} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{m=1}^{3} \langle T^{mm} \rangle$ $= p_{\text{thermo}} = n^2 \left. \frac{\partial(\varepsilon/n)}{\partial n} \right|_{T,V}$

S. Typel, H.H. Wolter, Nucl. Phys. A 656 (1999) 331;C. Fuchs, H. Lenske, H.H. Wolter, Phys. Rev. C 52 (1995) 3043



Relativistic Mean-Field Model III - Parameters

parameters of the model

- coupling functions $\Gamma_i(\varrho) = \Gamma_i(\varrho_{\text{sat}}) f_i(\varrho/\varrho_{\text{sat}})$
 - coupling strengths at saturation $\Gamma_{\omega}(\varrho_{\text{sat}}), \Gamma_{\sigma}(\varrho_{\text{sat}}), \Gamma_{\rho}(\varrho_{\text{sat}})$
 - functional dependence on density:
 - 3 or 4 parameters for ω and σ mesons,
 - 1 parameter for ρ meson
 - \Rightarrow 5 or 6 isoscalar and 2 isovector parameters

- masses of nucleons m_p , m_n and mesons m_i
 - \circ experimental values for masses of nucleons, ω and ρ meson
 - \circ mass of σ meson variable
 - $\Rightarrow 1 \text{ parameter}$

•
$$i = \omega, \sigma$$
 $f_i(x) = a \frac{1+b(x+d)^2}{1+c(x+d)^2}$ with conditions $f_i(1) = 1$, $f''_i(0) = 0$
• $i = \rho$ $f_i(x) = \exp[-a(x-1)]$

(S. Typel and H.H. Wolter, Nucl. Phys. A 656 (1999) 331)

⇒ in total 8 or 9 free parameters (highly correlated) fit, constraints?

Equation of State - 11/42

Constraints I - Nuclei and NN scattering

fit of model parameters to

- properties of spherical nuclei
 - \circ binding energies
 - \circ spin-orbit splittings
 - charge form factor (charge and diffraction radius, surface thickness)
 - \circ neutron skin thickness
 - \Rightarrow couplings $\Gamma_i(\rho)$ close to saturation density and below
 - \circ density dependence essential
 - very successful
 - \Rightarrow nuclear matter parameters

- low-energy nucleon-nucleon scattering
 - \circ scattering lengths
 - \circ effective ranges
 - \circ phase shifts
 - \circ deuteron binding energy
 - \Rightarrow couplings $\Gamma_i(\rho)$ at zero density
 - $\circ\,\,$ ''effective one-boson exchange potential''
 - only reproduction of observables needed, no "realistic" potential

Constraints II - Properties of Nuclei





Constraints III - Nuclear Matter

• binding energy per nucleon near saturation:

$$\frac{E}{A}(n,\beta) = \frac{\varepsilon}{n} = a_V + \frac{K}{18}x^2 - \frac{K'}{162}x^3 + \beta^2\left(J + \frac{L}{3}x + \dots\right) + \dots$$

with $x = (n - n_{\rm sat})/n_{\rm sat}$, asymmetry $\beta = 1 - 2Y_p$ and

nuclear matter parameters

- \circ $n_{\rm sat}$, a_V , $J \Rightarrow$ general trend of binding energies
- $\circ \ K \Rightarrow {\sf giant \ resonances}$
 - K and $K' \Rightarrow$ ratio surface tension/surface thickness
 - K' small, even negative in parameter fits to surface properties \Rightarrow stiffer EoS
- *L*: neutron skin thickness \Rightarrow density dependence of symmetry energy
- \Rightarrow fit to surface properties of atomic nuclei important

Constraints IV - Nuclear Matter

• effective Dirac mass at saturation $m_D = m - \Sigma$ with scalar self-energy Σ

 \Rightarrow spin-orbit splitting in nuclei

 $(m_D \neq \text{effective Landau mass} \Rightarrow \text{density of states})$

• 7 parameters ($n_{sat}, a_V, K, K', J, L, m_D$) quite well constrained

model	n_{sat}	a_V	K	K'	J	L	m_D/m
	$[fm^{-3}]$	[MeV]	[MeV]	[MeV]	[MeV]	[MeV]	
DD	0.1487	-16.021	240.0	-134.6	32.0	56.0	0.565
DDF	0.1469	-16.024	223.1	757.8	31.6	56.0	0.556
NL3	0.1482	-16.240	271.5	-203.0	37.4	100.9	0.596

DD: S. Typel, Phys. Rev. C 71 (2005) 064301

- DDF: T. Klähn et al., Phys. Rev. C 74 (2006) 035802
- NL3: G.A. Lalazissis, J. König, P. Ring, Phys. Rev. C 55 (1997) 540

Constraints V - Astronomy and Heavy-Ion Collisions

fit of model parameters to

- properties of neutron stars
 - (T. Klähn et al., Phys. Rev. C 74 (2006) 035802)
 - maximum mass \Leftrightarrow stiffness of symmetric matter EoS (K, K')
 - \circ cooling by direct URCA process stiffness of symmetry energy (*J*, *L*)
 - mass radius relation
 - gravitational mass baryon number relation
- \Rightarrow couplings $\Gamma_i(\rho)$ above saturation density modification of density dependence?

- heavy-ion collisions
 - \circ elliptic flow \Rightarrow high-density EoS not too stiff
 - (P. Danielewicz et al., Science 298 (2002) 1592)
 - o sub-threshold kaon production ⇒
 soft EoS of symmetric nuclear matter
 (C. Fuchs, Pro. Part. Nucl. Phys. 56 (2006) 1)
 - isoscaling of fragment yields \Rightarrow soft symmetry energy ($L \approx 60-80 \text{ MeV}$) (D. V. Shetty et al., Phys. Rev. C 75 (2007) 034603)

Light Clusters I - Theoretical Methods

low densities:

two-, three-, . . . many-body correlations due to NN interaction ⇒
 o modification of thermodynamical properties
 o bound states appear as new particle species

theoretical approaches:

- virial expansion in classical description (with potentials)
 - \circ expansion of grand-canonical partition function $\mathcal{Z}(V,T,\mu_i)$ in powers of

fugacities $z_i = \exp(\mu_i/T) \ll 1$ with chemical potentials $\mu_i \ll 0$

- quantum mechanical generalization (with density of states) (G. E. Beth and E. Uhlenbeck Physica 3 (1936) 729, Physica 4 (1937) 915)
- generalization with medium effects in thermodynamical Green's function appoach (M. Schmidt, G. Röpke and H. Schulz, Ann. Phys. 202 (1990) 57)

Light Clusters II - Virial Expansion

• virial expansion of grand-canonical potential

$$\Omega(V,T,\mu_i) = -VT\left(\sum_i b_i \frac{z_i}{\lambda_i^3} + \sum_{ij} b_{ij} \frac{z_i z_j}{\lambda_i^{3/2} \lambda_j^{3/2}} + \dots\right) = -pV$$

with thermal wave lengths $\lambda_i = \hbar \sqrt{2\pi/(m_i T)}$ and virial coefficients b_i , b_{ij} , ...

- \circ first virial coefficient $b_i = g_i$ statistical degeneracy factor
- \circ second virial coefficient $b_{ij} \Leftrightarrow$ correlation due to interaction
- particle densities

$$n_i = \frac{\partial p}{\partial \mu_i} \bigg|_{V,T} = b_i \frac{z_i}{\lambda_i^3} + 2\sum_j b_{ij} \frac{z_i z_j}{\lambda_i^{3/2} \lambda_j^{3/2}} + \dots = n_i^{\text{free}} + n_i^{\text{corr}}$$

with free and correlated densities

• natural upper limit for virial expansion: $n_i \lambda_i^3 < 1$

Light Clusters III - Second Virial Coefficient

second quantummechanical virial coefficient

$$b_{ij}(T) = \frac{1 + \delta_{ij}}{2} \frac{\lambda_i^{3/2} \lambda_j^{3/2}}{\lambda_{ij}^3} \int dE \ D_{ij}(E) \exp\left(-\frac{E}{T}\right)$$

with density of states
$$D_{ij}(E) = \sum_{k} g_k^{(ij)} \delta(E - E_k^{(ij)}) + \sum_{l} g_l^{(ij)} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{d\delta_l^{(ij)}}{dE}$$

- contributions from bound states at energies $E_k^{(ij)} < 0$
- contributions from continuum states in channels l with phase shifts $\delta_l^{(ij)}(E)$
- correction $(1 + \delta_{ij})$ for identical particles
- further corrections for Fermi or Bose statistics
- if bound state energies $E_k^{(ij)} < 0$ and phase shifts $\delta_l^{(ij)}$ are known experimentally
 - \Rightarrow low-density behaviour of EoS established model-independently

(e.g. C. J. Horowitz, A. Schwenk, Nucl. Phys. A 776 (2006) 55)

/ . .

Light Clusters IV - Deuteron Formation

example

• deuteron formation in symmetric nuclear matter: total nucleon density $n = n_{\text{free}} + 2n_d$ with

$$n_d = 3 \cdot 2^{-\frac{5}{2}} \lambda^3 n_{\text{free}}^2 \exp(-E_d/T)$$

- \Rightarrow law of mass action $n+p \Leftrightarrow d$
- high densities: unphysical behaviour, no clusters but homogeneous matter expected
- \Rightarrow generalization necessary:
 - \circ in-medium interaction
 - \circ Pauli blocking of states
 - $\circ\,$ neutron-proton asymmetric systems
 - \circ three-body (^3H, ^3He), four-body (^4He) correlations and beyond
 - \circ Boson/Fermion statistics



Light Clusters V - Generalized BU Approach

• thermodynamic Green's function approach

(M. Schmidt, G. Röpke, H. Schulz, Ann. Phys. 202 (1990) 57)

 \Rightarrow go beyond quasi-particle approximation of spectral function

$$\Rightarrow n_{\rm corr}(\mu, T) = \int \frac{d^3 P}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \int dE g \left[E + E_{\rm cont}(P, \mu, T), \mu, T \right] \varrho(E, P, \mu, T)$$

with c.m. momentum \vec{P} , relative energy E, Bose-Einstein distribution function g, continuum edge E_{cont} (energy of continuum state with relative energy E = 0) and generalized density of states

$$\varrho(E, P, \mu, T) = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} \left[\delta(E - E_{\alpha}) + \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^2 \delta_{\alpha} \frac{d}{dE} \delta_{\alpha} \right]$$

- medium-dependent shift of binding energies $E_{\alpha} = E_{\alpha}(P, \mu, T)$, no bound state contributions for c.m. momenta $P < P_{Mott}$
- \circ generalized scattering phase shifts $\delta_{\alpha} = \delta_{\alpha}(E, P, \mu, T)$ from in-medium T-matrix

Light Clusters VI - BU Approach and RMF

model calculations needed

- \Rightarrow parametrization of
 - effective binding energies
 - phase shifts
- combine generalized Beth-Uhlenbeck approach with relativistic mean-field model
 - ⇒ treat cluster states (bound & continuum) as explicit degrees of freedom in RMF Lagrangian
- density and temperature dependence

 of effective cluster binding energies
 ⇒ 'rearrangement' contributions to
 nucleon self-energies and entropy density
 ⇒ essential for thermodynamical consistency
- consistency of interactions?



Generalized RMF Model I - Lagrangian

- Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_F + \mathcal{L}_B + \mathcal{L}_M$ with contributions of
 - fermions $(p, n, \Lambda, \Sigma^+, \dots, {}^{3}\mathsf{H}, {}^{3}\mathsf{He}, \dots, e, \mu, \nu_e, \dots)$ $\mathcal{L}_F = \sum_{i \in F} \bar{\psi}_i \left[\gamma_{\mu} i D_i^{\mu} M_i \right] \psi$
 - bosons (²H, ⁴He) $\mathcal{L}_B = \frac{1}{4} \left(i D^{\mu}_{\alpha} \varphi_{\alpha} \right)^* \left(i D_{\alpha \mu} \varphi_{\alpha} \right) \frac{1}{2} \varphi^*_{\alpha} M^2_{\alpha} \varphi_{\alpha}$

 $+ \frac{1}{2} \left(i D_d^{\mu} \varphi_d^{\nu} - i D_d^{\nu} \varphi_d^{\mu} \right)^* \left(i D_{d\mu} \varphi_{d\nu} - i D_{d\nu} \varphi_{d\mu} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \varphi_d^{\mu*} M_d^2 \varphi_{d\mu}$

- mesons $(\sigma, \omega_{\mu}, \vec{\rho}_{\mu})$ und photons (A_{μ}) $\mathcal{L}_{M} = \dots$
- interaction via
 - covariant derivatives $iD_i^{\mu} = i\partial^{\mu} \Gamma_{i\omega}\omega^{\mu} \Gamma_{i\rho}\vec{\tau}\cdot\vec{\rho}^{\mu} \Gamma_{i\gamma}A^{\mu}$
 - effective masses $M_i = m_i \Gamma_{i\sigma}\sigma B_i$

with density dependent couplings Γ_{ij} and effective binding energies B_i of clusters with masses $m_i = Z_i m_p + N_i m_n$

⇒ field equations with additional source terms and modified "rearrangement" contributions in self-energies

Generalized RMF Model II - Cluster Formation

- consider 2-, 3-, and 4-body correlations
 - \circ only bound-state contributions
 - energy shifts in quadratic approximation (function of free nucleon densities)
 - ⇒ deuterons, tritons, helions, and alphas
 continuum contributions (to be included)
- Mott effect: suppression of cluster formation at high densities
- correct limits for low and high densities
- no heavy clusters/phase transition included here
- \Rightarrow correlations in the medium
 - many-body bound states treated as individual particles (in chemical equilibrium)
 two-particle correlations in scattering states (to be included)



Generalized RMF Model III - Particle Abundancies

 $X_i = A_i \frac{n_i}{n}$ for asymmetry $\beta = 0.0$



Equation of State - 25/42

Generalized RMF Model IV - Energies

without (dashed) and with (solid) clusters for asymmetry $\beta = 0.0$

free energy per nucleon F/A = f/n

internal energy per nucleon U/A = u/n



Equation of State - 26/42

Generalized RMF Model V - Pressure and Entropy

without (dashed) and with (solid) clusters for asymmetry $\beta = 0.0$

pressure p

entropy per nucleon S/A = s/n



Equation of State - 27/42

Generalized RMF Model V - Pressure and Entropy

without (dashed) and with (solid) clusters for asymmetry $\beta = 0.0$

pressure p

entropy per nucleon S/A = s/n



Equation of State - 27/42

Generalized RMF Model VI - Particle Abundancies

 $X_i = A_i \frac{n_i}{n}$ for density $n = 10^{-3}$ fm⁻³



Equation of State - 28/42

Generalized RMF Model VII - Energies

without (dashed) and with (solid) clusters for density $n = 10^{-3}$ fm⁻³

free energy per nucleon F/A = f/n

internal energy per nucleon U/A = u/n



Equation of State - 29/42

Generalized RMF Model VIII - Symmetry Energy

• general definition for zero temperature:

$$E_s(n) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \beta^2} \frac{E}{A}(n,\beta) \Big|_{\beta=0}$$

 \Rightarrow nuclear matter parameters

$$J = E_s(n_{\text{sat}}) \quad L = 3n \frac{d}{dn} E_s \big|_{n=n_{\text{sat}}}$$

with clusters and at finite temperatures:
 o use approximation

$$E_s(n) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{E}{A}(n,1) - 2\frac{E}{A}(n,0) + \frac{E}{A}(n,-1) \right]$$

- \circ distinguish free symmetry energy F_s and internal symmetry energy U_s
- in early RMF models: constant ρ meson coupling Γ_ρ
 almost linear increase of E_s with n
 too large values of J and L
- \bullet models with density-dependent couplings: realistic values for J and L



Generalized RMF Model IX - Symmetry Energies

without (dashed) and with (solid) clusters

free symmetry energy

internal symmetry energy



Equation of State - 31/42

Phase Transition I - Example

- homogeneous symmetric nuclear matter without clusters
- consider isothermes in pressure-density diagram
 ⇒ critical point

example: parametrization DDF $T_c = 15.2$ MeV, $n_c = 0.0505$ fm⁻³, $p_c = 0.244$ MeV fm⁻³ $\Rightarrow p_c/(n_cT_c) = 0.318$ cf. van-der-Waals gas: $p_c/(n_cT_c) = 0.375$



- $T < T_c$: homogeneous matter mechanically instable in certain range of densities $\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial n}\Big|_{TV} < 0\right)$
- ⇒ appearance of low-density and high-density phases with the same asymmetry, Maxwell construction: pressure and chemical potential constant
- asymmetric nuclear matter: more complicated situation

Phase Transition II - Asymmetric Nuclear Matter

- phase transition with two conserved charges: neutron and proton number or baryon and baryonic charge number (see, e.g., H. Müller and B. D. Serot, Phys. Rev. C 52 (1995) 2072)
 relation of chemical potentials: μ_b = μ_n, μ_q = μ_p - μ_n
- distinction necessary:
 - spinodals (instability boundaries) \Leftrightarrow local criterion on free energy density f: stable if matrix $\left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial n_i \partial n_j}\right)\Big|_{T,V}$ positive (e.g. mechanical and diffusive stability)
 - binodals (phase separation boundaries) \Leftrightarrow global criterion on free energy density f: convexity of free energy density (two phases I and II) $f(T, n_i) \leq \lambda f(T, n_i^I) + (1 - \lambda) f(T, n_i^{II})$ with $n_i = \lambda n_i^I + (1 - \lambda) n_i^{II}$ $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$
- \bullet spinodals enclosed by binodals \Rightarrow binodals relevant for system in equilibrium

Phase Transition III - Construction

• construction of coexisting phases I, II in equilibrium with Gibbs conditions: equal intensive variables, i.e.

$$T^I = T^{II} \quad p^I = p^{II} \quad \mu^I_b = \mu^{II}_b \quad \mu^I_q = \mu^{II}_q$$

- pressure and chemical potentials not necessarily constant during phase transition
- two phases with different densities and different asymmetries
- description of system inside binodal not needed
- generalization for system with electrons possible (charge neutrality)
- no surface effects, no Coulomb interaction
 ⇒ inhomogeneities, heavy clusters



Phase Transition IV - Pressure

without phase transition

constant asymmetry $\beta = 0.0$

constant temperature $T=10~{\rm MeV}$



Equation of State - 35/42

Phase Transition IV - Pressure

without (dashed) and with (solid) phase transition

constant asymmetry $\beta=0.5$

constant temperature T = 10 MeV



Phase Transition V - Energies

without (dashed) and with (solid) phase transition for asymmetry $\beta=0$

free energy per nucleon F/A = f/n

internal energy per nucleon U/A = u/n



Equation of State - 37/42

Phase Transition VI - Symmetry Energies

without (dashed) and with (solid) phase transition

free symmetry energy

internal symmetry energy



Equation of State - 38/42

Heavy Clusters I - Inhomogeneous Matter

low densities and low temperatures

- \bullet homogeneous system not stable \Rightarrow inhomogeneities develop
- single phase transition (with low and high density phases) replaced by sequence of transitions with various shapes of density distributions ("pasta" phases)
- surface effects and Coulomb interaction important
- global charge neutrality \Rightarrow compensation of proton charge by electron charge

first approximation

- lattice periodic distribution of heavy nuclei; nucleons, electrons, light clusters in between
- Wigner-Seitz approximation: replace (cubic) lattice cell by spherical WS cell
- relativistic Thomas-Fermi approximation with RMF energy density functional (beyond local density approximation)
 ⇒ selfconsistent density distributions with smooth transition to homogeneous phase
- lattice correlation energy by long-range Coulomb interaction important
- construction of phase transition still required

Heavy Clusters II - Example

- inhomogeneous matter with protons, neutrons, electrons and α particles
- self-consistent density distributions in spherical Wigner-Seitz cell
- formation of heavy nucleus
- shell/bubble solutions possible
- distribution of α particles around nucleus (no excluded volume mechanism needed)
- effects of Coulomb interaction
- inhomogeneous electron distribution (charge screening)



Heavy Clusters III - Lattice Energy

correction of Coulomb field energy

• in spherical WS cell of radius R: $E_C^{WS} = \frac{\Gamma_{\gamma}}{2} \int_{V_{WS}} d^3r A_0(r) \varrho_q(r) \qquad -\Delta A_0 = \Gamma_{\gamma} \varrho_q$

with spherical field $A_0(r)$ and charge density $\rho_q(r)$ $\int_{V_{WS}} d^3r \ \rho_q(r) = 0$ boundary conditions: $A_0(R) = 0$, $A'_0(R) = 0$

● place WS cells on lattice sites with lattice constant a
 ⇒ lattice periodic fields and densities

replace
$$E_C^{WS}$$
 by $E_C^{\text{lat}} = \frac{\Gamma_{\gamma}^2 a}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{h,k,l}' \left(\frac{N_{hkl} I_{hkl}}{h^2 + k^2 + l^2} \right)^2$ with $N_{hkl} = 1 + (-1)^{h+k+l}$ (bcc cell)
 $I_{hkl} = \int_0^R dr \ r \ [\varrho_q(r) - \varrho_q(R)] \sin(q_{hkl}r) \qquad q_{hkl} = \frac{2\pi}{a} \sqrt{h^2 + k^2 + l^2}$

- \Rightarrow violation of thermodynamical consistency $p = n^2 \left. \frac{\partial (f/n)}{\partial n} \right|_{T,V,\beta} \neq \sum_i \mu_i n_i f$
- use lattice field energy (photons and mesons) in energy density functional for derivation of field equations, self-energies, etc.

Summary and Outlook

- construction of improved equation of state of dense nuclear matter
 - \circ relativistic mean-field model with density-dependent couplings
 - generalized Beth-Uhlenbeck approach (light clusters)
 - relativistic Thomas-Fermi calculations in modified Wigner-Seitz cell (heavy clusters)
- various constraints of model parameters
- improved consistency
- work in progress
 - \circ parametrization of medium effects for clusters/correlations to be investigated
 - \circ improvement of RMF parametrization
 - o only preliminary results so far, still numerical difficulties
- application (future)
 - \circ detailed investigation of new EoS
 - \circ effects on astrophysical models for supernovae?