# Superfluid Heat Conduction in the Neutron Star Crust

Sanjay Reddy Los Alamos National Lab

Collaborators : Deborah Aguilera Vincenzo Cirigliano Jose Pons Rishi Sharma

arXiv:0807.4754

Superfluidity in the Crust Enhances Heat Conduction:

$$\kappa_{\rm sPh} = 1.5 \times 10^{22} \left(\frac{T}{10^8 \text{ K}}\right)^3 \left(\frac{0.1}{v_s}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\lambda_{\rm sPh}}{\rm cm}\right) \frac{\rm erg}{\rm cm \ s \ K}$$

### Conventional Wisdom: Electrons dominate conduction

At neutron drip and T=10<sup>8</sup> K 
$$\kappa_e \simeq 10^{18} \frac{\text{ergs}}{\text{cm s K}}$$

Superfluidity in the Crust Enhances Heat Conduction:

$$\kappa_{\rm sPh} = 1.5 \times 10^{22} \left(\frac{T}{10^8 \text{ K}}\right)^3 \left(\frac{0.1}{v_s}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\lambda_{\rm sPh}}{\rm cm}\right) \frac{\rm erg}{\rm cm \ s \ K}$$

### Conventional Wisdom: Electrons dominate conduction

At neutron drip and T=10<sup>8</sup> K 
$$\kappa_e \simeq 10^{18} \frac{\text{ergs}}{\text{cm s K}}$$
  
At B = 10<sup>14</sup> G  $\kappa_e^{\perp} \simeq 10^{16} \frac{\text{ergs}}{\text{cm s K}}$ 

#### Superfluidity in the Crust Enhances Heat Conduction:

$$\kappa_{\rm sPh} = 1.5 \times 10^{22} \left(\frac{T}{10^8 \text{ K}}\right)^3 \left(\frac{0.1}{v_s}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\lambda_{\rm sPh}}{\rm cm}\right) \frac{\rm erg}{\rm cm \ s \ K}$$

### Conventional Wisdom: Electrons dominate conduction

At neutron drip and T=10<sup>8</sup> K 
$$\kappa_e \simeq 10^{18} \frac{\text{ergs}}{\text{cm s K}}$$
  
At B = 10<sup>14</sup> G  $\kappa_e^{\perp} \simeq 10^{16} \frac{\text{ergs}}{\text{cm s K}}$ 

### Thermal Conductivity - Some Data

| material           | к (ergs/cm·s·K) |
|--------------------|-----------------|
| air                | 0.00025         |
| bronze             | 1.10            |
| copper             | 4.01            |
| diamond            | 8.95            |
| graphite           | 19.5            |
| helium (II)        | >1000           |
| ice cream (powder) | 0.0005          |

### Thermal Conductivity - Some Data

| material           | к (ergs/cm·s·K) |
|--------------------|-----------------|
| air                | 0.00025         |
| bronze             | 1.10            |
| copper             | 4.01            |
| diamond            | 8.95            |
| graphite           | 19.5            |
| helium (II)        | >1000           |
| ice cream (powder) | 0.0005          |

### Thermal Conductivity - Some Data

| material           | к (ergs/cm·s·K) |
|--------------------|-----------------|
| air                | 0.00025         |
| bronze             | 1.10            |
| copper             | 4.01            |
| diamond            | 8.95            |
| graphite           | 19.5            |
| helium (II)        | >1000           |
| ice cream (powder) | 0.0005          |



# Neutron Star Thermal Evolution

- Long term cooling of isolated neutron stars.
- Thermal profiles of accreting neutron stars.
- Long term cooling of magnetars.
- Thermal relaxation in quiescence.



# Neutron Star Thermal Evolution

- Long term cooling of isolated neutron stars.
- Thermal profiles of accreting neutron stars.
- Long term cooling of magnetars.
- Thermal relaxation in quiescence.



Temperature gradient in the crust plays a role

# **Conduction in Terrestrial Solids**

$$\begin{bmatrix} Q &= \kappa \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \\ \kappa &\simeq \frac{1}{3} C_V v \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C_e & \propto & \mathcal{N}(0) \frac{T}{\epsilon_F} \\ C_{\text{lPh}} & \propto & \frac{T^3}{v_{\text{lPh}}^3} \end{bmatrix}$$

Electrons dominate transport in conductors:

 $\frac{C_e \ v_e}{C_{\rm lPh} \ v_{\rm lPh}} \simeq 3$ 

In insulators lattice phonons dominate. Their conduction can be large.

# Phonon Conduction in Solids

10.0

5.0

2.0

-'DEGREE-1

At low temperature phonons have very large mean free path.

Rayleigh scattering off impurities dominates at longwavelength

$$\sigma_{R} = \pi r_{0}^{6} q^{4} \simeq \frac{A}{v^{4}} T^{4}$$

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{n_{I} \sigma_{R}}$$

$$\kappa \simeq \frac{1}{3} C_{V} v \lambda \simeq B \frac{v^{2}}{n_{I} T}$$

Baumann & Pohl (1967) Ziman (1960)

# Phonon Conduction in Solids

10.0

5.0

2.0

cm-IDEGREE-1

At low temperature phonons have very large mean free path.

Rayleigh scattering off impurities dominates at longwavelength

$$\sigma_{R} = \pi r_{0}^{6} q^{4} \simeq \frac{A}{v^{4}} T^{4}$$

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{n_{I} \sigma_{R}}$$

$$\kappa \simeq \frac{1}{3} C_{V} v \lambda \simeq B \frac{v^{2}}{n_{I} T}$$

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{2} C_{V} v \lambda \simeq \frac{1}{2} \frac{v^{2}}{n_{I} T}$$

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{2} C_{V} v \lambda \simeq \frac{1}{2} \frac{v^{2}}{n_{I} T}$$

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{2} C_{V} v \lambda \simeq \frac{1}{2} \frac{v^{2}}{n_{I} T}$$

Baumann & Pohl (1967) Ziman (1960)

200

Rayleigh Scattering

# Phonon Conduction in Solids

10.0

5.0

2,0

DEGREE-1

At low temperature phonons have very large mean free path.

Rayleigh scattering off impurities dominates at longwavelength

$$\sigma_{R} = \pi r_{0}^{6} q^{4} \simeq \frac{A}{v^{4}} T^{4}$$

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{n_{I} \sigma_{R}}$$

$$\kappa \simeq \frac{1}{3} C_{V} v \lambda \simeq B \frac{v^{2}}{n_{I} T}$$

$$K^{CI + KBr}_{0.05}$$

$$K^{CI + KBr}_{0.05}$$

$$K^{CI + KBr}_{0.05}$$

$$K^{CI + 4 \times 10^{19}}_{0.05} B^{+} cm^{-3}$$

Baumann & Pohl (1967) Ziman (1960)

Rayleigh Scattering

# Thermal Conduction in the Crust

• Liquid Phase: Electrons & lons

• Solid Phase: Electrons & Phonons

• Electrons carry heat

**Outer Crust:** 

- The electron mean free path is limited due to interactions with ions/phonons
- Fermi momentum of electrons  $k_F > 1/a$

# **Electron Thermal Conduction**

$$\kappa = \frac{\pi^2}{3} \ n_e \ \left(\frac{T}{\mu_e}\right) \ \lambda_e$$
  
Electrons are degenerate & relativistic

Electron mean free path set

- by collisions with ions.
- •Energy transfer ~T
- •Momentum transfer ~  $k_{Fe}$

$$\lambda_e = \tau_e = \frac{1}{\nu_e}$$

# Electrons or Phonons ?

 $\frac{\kappa_{\rm el}}{\kappa_{\rm lPh}} = \frac{C_{\rm el}}{C_{\rm lPh}} \frac{1}{c} \frac{\lambda_{\rm e}}{\lambda_{\rm lPh}}$ 

Typically electrons dominate - unless there is a large magnetic field.

Magnetic field suppresses transverse conduction

$$\begin{split} \kappa_{\perp} &= \frac{\kappa_{\parallel}}{1 + (\omega_g \ \tau_e)^2} & \omega_g = \frac{eB}{\mu_e} \text{ = Gyrofrequency} \\ \kappa_{\parallel} &= \kappa_{el}(B = 0) & \tau_e \text{ = Collision time} \end{split}$$

Canuto and Ventura (1977) Uripin & Yakovlev (1980)

# Electrons or Phonons ?

$$\frac{\kappa_{\rm el}}{\kappa_{\rm lPh}} = \frac{C_{\rm el}}{C_{\rm lPh}} \frac{1}{c} \frac{\lambda_{\rm e}}{\lambda_{\rm lPh}} \simeq \frac{\mu_e^2}{T^2} \frac{1}{c} \frac{\lambda_{\rm e}}{\lambda_{\rm lPh}} \gg 1$$

Typically electrons dominate

- unless there is a large magnetic field.

Magnetic field suppresses transverse conduction

$$\begin{split} \kappa_{\perp} &= \frac{\kappa_{\parallel}}{1 + (\omega_g \ \tau_e)^2} & \omega_g = \frac{eB}{\mu_e} \text{ =Gyrofrequency} \\ \kappa_{\parallel} &= \kappa_{\text{el}}(B = 0) & \tau_{\text{e}} \text{ = Collision time} \end{split}$$

Canuto and Ventura (1977) Uripin & Yakovlev (1980)

Lattice Phonons have large mean free paths.

 $\lambda_{\rm lPh} \gg \lambda_{\rm e}$ 

Mean free path set by: I.Impurity scattering 2.Absorption by Electrons



Lattice Phonons have large mean free paths.

 $\lambda_{
m lPh} \gg \lambda_{
m e}$ 

Mean free path set by: I.Impurity scattering 2.Absorption by Electrons



Lattice Phonons have large mean free paths.

 $\lambda_{\rm lPh} \gg \lambda_{\rm e}$ 

Mean free path set by: I.Impurity scattering 2.Absorption by Electrons



Lattice Phonons have large mean free paths.

 $\lambda_{\rm lPh} \gg \lambda_{\rm e}$ 

Mean free path set by: I.Impurity scattering 2.Absorption by Electrons



### **Electron Absorption**

# Heat Transport in the Inner Crust

Neutron matter in the crust is superfluid.
Neutron particle-hole excitations are gapped



Low energy degrees of freedom: I.Electrons 2.Lattice Phonons (I long. + 2 Trans.) 3.Superfluid Phonons

### Pairing in neutron matter

Attractive interactions destabilize the Fermi surface:

$$H = \sum_{k,s=\uparrow,\downarrow} \left(\frac{k^2}{2m} - \mu\right) a_{k,s}^{\dagger} a_{k,s} + g \sum_{k,p,q,s=\uparrow,\downarrow} a_{k+q,s}^{\dagger} a_{p-q,s}^{\dagger} a_{k,s} a_{p,s}$$

$$\Delta = g \langle a_{k,\uparrow} a_{p,\downarrow} \rangle \quad \Delta^* = g \langle a_{k,\uparrow}^{\dagger} a_{p,\downarrow}^{\dagger} \rangle$$

Cooper pairs leads to superfluidity

Energy gap for fermions:

$$E(p) = \sqrt{\left(\frac{p^2}{2M} - \mu\right)^2 + \Delta^2}$$

New collective mode: Superfluid Phonon



 $\omega(k) = v_s \ k$ 

# sPh mean free path

Rayleigh Scattering
$$\sigma_R = \pi r_0^2 \left( \frac{q^4 r_0^4}{1 + q^4 r_0^4} \right)$$
 $r_o$  =Typical nuclear radii $q r_0 \simeq 10^{-3} \left( \frac{T}{10^7 \mathrm{K}} \right) \ll 1$  $q$  = sPh momentum $q r_0 \simeq 10^{-3} \left( \frac{T}{10^7 \mathrm{K}} \right) \ll 1$ 

Scattering dominated  $\lambda_{R} = \frac{1}{n_{I} \sigma_{R}} = \frac{v_{s}^{4}}{81 \pi n_{I} r_{0}^{6} T^{4}}$ by impurities:

Very large mean free path!

$$\lambda_{\text{Ray}} = 450 \ \left(\frac{v_s}{0.1}\right)^4 \left(\frac{x}{10}\right)^3 \left(\frac{10 \text{ fm}}{r_0}\right)^3 \ T_7^{-4} \text{ cm}$$

If only impurity  $\kappa_{sPh}(T = scattering is relevant:$ 

$$_{\rm sPh}(T = 10^8 {\rm K}) \simeq 10^{21} \frac{{\rm ergs}}{{\rm cm \ s \ K}}$$

### **Dissipative Processes**



### **Dissipative Processes**



$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm EFT}^{\rm sPh} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_o \phi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} v (\partial_i \phi)^2 + \frac{1}{f_s} \partial_o \phi \psi^{\dagger} \psi + \frac{1}{\Lambda_s^2} (\partial_o \phi)^3 + \cdots$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm EFT}^{\rm lPh} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_o \xi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} c (\partial_i \xi_i)^2 + \frac{1}{f_l} \partial_i \xi^i \psi^{\dagger} \psi + \frac{1}{\Lambda_l^2} (\partial_i \xi^i)^3 + \cdots$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm EFT}^{\rm sPh} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_o \phi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} v (\partial_i \phi)^2 + \frac{1}{f_s} \partial_o \phi \psi^{\dagger} \psi + \frac{1}{\Lambda_s^2} (\partial_o \phi)^3 + \cdots$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm EFT}^{\rm lPh} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_o \xi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} c (\partial_i \xi_i)^2 + \frac{1}{f_l} \partial_i \xi^i \psi^{\dagger} \psi + \frac{1}{\Lambda_l^2} (\partial_i \xi^i)^3 + \cdots$$
$$\bigwedge_{\textbf{kinetic terms}}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{el-Ion}} = \int d^3x \int d^3y \ V(x-y) \ \psi^{\dagger}(x)\psi(x) \left[ \Psi^{\dagger}(y)\Psi(y) - \Psi^{\dagger}(y)\Psi(y) - \eta_{\text{Ion}} + \delta\rho(y) \right]$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{el-Ion}} = \int d^3x \int d^3y \ V(x-y) \ \psi^{\dagger}(x)\psi(x) \underbrace{\Psi^{\dagger}(y)\Psi(y)}_{\Psi^{\dagger}(y)\Psi(y)} = n_{\text{Ion}} + \delta\rho(y)$$

Fluctuation in density due to displacement field : d(y)

$$\delta \rho(y) = -n_{\text{Ion}} \nabla \cdot \vec{d}(y) + \cdots$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{el-Ion}} = \int d^3x \int d^3y \ V(x-y) \ \psi^{\dagger}(x)\psi(x) \underbrace{\Psi^{\dagger}(y)\Psi(y)}_{\Psi^{\dagger}(y)\Psi(y)} = n_{\text{Ion}} + \delta\rho(y)$$

Fluctuation in density due to displacement field : d(y)

$$\delta \rho(y) = -n_{\text{Ion}} \nabla \cdot \vec{d}(y) + \cdots$$

 $\vec{d}(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{\text{Ion}} AM}} \vec{\xi(x)} \leftarrow \text{Canonically normalized} \\ \text{lattice phonon field}$ 

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{el-Ion}} = \int d^3x \int d^3y \ V(x-y) \ \psi^{\dagger}(x)\psi(x) \underbrace{\Psi^{\dagger}(y)\Psi(y)}_{\Psi^{\dagger}(y)\Psi(y)} = n_{\text{Ion}} + \delta\rho(y)$$

Fluctuation in density due to displacement field : d(y)

$$\delta \rho(y) = -n_{\text{Ion}} \nabla \cdot \vec{d}(y) + \cdots$$

 $\vec{d}(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{\text{Ion}} AM}} \vec{\xi(x)} \leftarrow \text{Canonically normalized} \\ \text{lattice phonon field}$  $\mathcal{H}_{\rm el-lPh} = \left[ \frac{4\pi Z e^2}{q_{\rm TF}^2} \frac{n_{\rm Ion}}{\sqrt{n_{\rm Ion} AM}} \right] \int d^3x \ \psi^{\dagger}(x) \psi(x) \ \nabla \cdot \vec{\xi}(x)$ 

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{el-Ion}} = \int d^3x \int d^3y \ V(x-y) \ \psi^{\dagger}(x)\psi(x) \underbrace{\Psi^{\dagger}(y)\Psi(y)}_{\Psi^{\dagger}(y)\Psi(y)} = n_{\text{Ion}} + \delta\rho(y)$$

Fluctuation in density due to displacement field : d(y)

$$\delta \rho(y) = -n_{\text{Ion}} \nabla \cdot \vec{d}(y) + \cdots$$

$$\vec{d}(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{\text{Ion}} AM}} \underbrace{\vec{\xi}(x)}_{\text{Lon}} \underbrace{\text{Canonically normalized}}_{\text{lattice phonon field}} \\ \mathcal{H}_{\text{el-lPh}} = \underbrace{\frac{4\pi Z e^2}{q_{\text{TF}}^2} \frac{n_{\text{Ion}}}{\sqrt{n_{\text{Ion}} AM}}}_{\sqrt{n_{\text{Ion}} AM}} \int d^3x \ \psi^{\dagger}(x)\psi(x) \ \nabla \cdot \vec{\xi}(x) \\ \mathcal{H}_{\text{el-lPh}} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{f_{\text{el-ph}}}}_{f_{\text{el-ph}}} \int d^3x \ \psi^{\dagger}(x)\psi(x) \ \nabla \cdot \vec{\xi}(x)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{n-Ion} = \int d^3x \int d^3y \ V_{n-A}(x-y) \ \psi_n^{\dagger}(x)\psi_n(x) \ \Psi^{\dagger}(y)\Psi(y)$$

$$V_{n-A} = \frac{2\pi a_{n-A}}{A M} \delta^3(x)$$

~

$$\mathcal{H}_{n-Ion} = \int d^3x \int d^3y \ V_{n-A}(x-y) \ \psi_n^{\dagger}(x)\psi_n(x) \ \Psi^{\dagger}(y)\Psi(y)$$

$$V_{n-A} = \frac{2\pi \ a_{n-A}}{A \ M} \ \delta^3(x)$$



$$\mathcal{H}_{n-Ion} = \int d^3x \int d^3y \ V_{n-A}(x-y) \ \psi_n^{\dagger}(x)\psi_n(x) \ \Psi^{\dagger}(y)\Psi(y)$$

$$V_{n-A} = \frac{2\pi \ a_{n-A}}{A \ M} \ \delta^3(x)$$



$$\mathcal{H}_{n-Ion} = \int d^3x \int d^3y \ V_{n-A}(x-y) \ \psi_n^{\dagger}(x)\psi_n(x) \ \Psi^{\dagger}(y)\Psi(y)$$

-1-

$$V_{n-A} = \frac{2\pi \ a_{n-A}}{A \ M} \ \delta^3(x)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ &$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{n-lPh} = \frac{1}{f_{n-lPh}} \int d^3x \ \psi_n^{\dagger}(x) \psi_n(x) \ \partial_i \xi_i(x)$$
$$\frac{1}{f_{n-lPh}} = -2\pi a_{n-A} \ \sqrt{\frac{n_{Ion}}{A \ M^3}}$$







Now we are ready to calculate the sPh mean free path

# Mixing and Dissipation

Mixing leads to oscillations



Dissipation of IPh leads to dissipation of sPh









Away from resonance  $\lambda_{\rm sPh} \simeq 10^5 \lambda_{\rm lPh}$ 

#### Superfluid Phonon Mean Free Path



#### Superfluid Phonon Mean Free Path



#### Superfluid Phonon Mean Free Path



#### Thermal Conductivity



### **Consequences for Magnetar Cooling**

Surface temperature anisotropy due anisotropic conduction.



sPh can limit the anisotropy



### Conclusions

- New mode for heat conduction in the inner crust.
- Low energy EFT for sPhs, IPhs and electrons.
- sPh conduction is likely to be important for thermal evolution of magnetars.

### Conclusions

- New mode for heat conduction in the inner crust.
- Low energy EFT for sPhs, IPhs and electrons.
- sPh conduction is likely to be important for thermal evolution of magnetars.

Large temperature anisotropy on the surface can probe efficiency of phonon transport in the crust.

Electron Scattering and the  
Dynamic Structure Factor  

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_{e}} = \nu_{e} = \frac{4\pi Z^{2} e^{4} n_{\text{ions}}}{k_{Fe}^{2} v_{Fe}} \Lambda_{\kappa}$$

$$\Lambda_{\kappa} = \int_{0}^{2k_{Fe}} dq \ q^{3} \ V_{\text{eff}}(q)^{2} \ S_{\kappa}(q) \left[1 - \frac{v_{\text{Fe}}^{2}q^{2}}{4k_{\text{Fe}}^{2}}\right]$$
Flowers & Itoh (1976)  
Yakovlev & Urpin (1980)  
Potekhin et al. (1999)  

$$\Lambda_{\kappa} = \int d\omega \ S(q, \omega) \left[\frac{z + f(q) \ z^{3}}{1 - e^{-z}}\right] z = \frac{\omega}{T}$$
Dynamic Structure Factor

$$S(q,\omega) = \int dt \ e^{i\omega t} \ \langle \rho(q,t)\rho(-q,0) \rangle$$

Potekhin (1999)

# Plasma physics of the outer crust:

$$\Gamma = \frac{Z^2 e^2}{a kT} \quad \Gamma_c \simeq 175$$

$$a \simeq 125 \left(\frac{A}{50} \frac{1}{\rho_{10}}\right)^{1/3} \text{ fm}$$

$$kT = 4.4 \ 10^{-5} \frac{T}{10^8 K} \text{ fm}^{-1}$$

$$\omega_{\text{plasmon}} = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi e^2 Z^2 n_I}{AM}}$$

$$q_{\text{TFe}} = \sqrt{\frac{4e^2}{\pi} k_{\text{Fe}}}$$

