



SPIRAL2 HM RIB Preseparator: Design and Expected Performances

Francis Osswald

IPHC, CNRS/IN2P3, Université de Strasbourg, France



High-mass RIBs workshop, Argonne June 22th 2012







- Beam line layout, main components and issues
- What we have to consider, the compromise between beam transmission, rejection and safety
- Expected performances, the hypothesis and limitations
- Conclusion









Spiral 2 ISOL beam extraction





F. OSSWALD

Typical mass analysis





¹C:\Documents and Settings\AQ3\Mes documents\LabVIEW Data\120215-162411-Spectrum.txt

Spiral2

ECRIS Argon beam extraction with Nitrogen as supporting gas



Main issues



- HI-LEBT, space charge dominated regime
- Light-ion beam rejection with solenoid and magnetic triplet at frontend and mass separation of beam of interest
- Beam/environment interactions
- Beam diagnostics and tuning
- Safety and radiation monitoring (volatile species, decay products, pumping rates, trapping, transmission coefficients, collimation)
- Maintenance (remote handling)
- Costs (building, hot cell, technical services)

Spiral 2 Confinement and pumping



>>>Different assumptions and incidental scenarii. Collimation and vacuum chambers dimensions are consistent with transverse beam enveloppes (line acceptance matching beam emittance)



High-mass RIBs workshop, Argonne June 22th 2012 7



courtesy CEA/IRFU/SACM



Beam rejection and losses





HI-LEBT with multispecies beam



ECRIS : influence of supporting gas and contaminants Example of 0.4 mA ⁴⁰Ar⁺ beam extraction @ 60 keV

Spiral2



June 22th 2012

Spiral 2 Hollow beam experiment inter-

Standard profile





Hollow beam



Experiment performed at LPSC with the support of IPNL and FLNR, February 2012





Conventional L-shaped extraction line











June 22th 2012



HI RIB line







Radiation issue



Max RIB current is not only a question of performance, it is also a safety issue due to limited dose rate in controlled access area (safety/economic ratio)

Max dose rate in the hot cell (Gy/Y)

	N+ɣ	۲ fi (X ^{y+})	Resiliance	
			RadHard epoxy	MIC
Solenoid	10 ⁴ -10 ⁶	10 ⁵ /10 ^{8*}	10 ⁷	10 ¹¹
QP's	10 ³	10 ⁵ /10 ^{8*}	10 ⁷	10 ¹¹
Dipole	10 ²	10 ⁵ /10 ^{8*}	10 ⁷	10 ¹¹

* Averaged power/full power (200 kW, 10¹¹ pps,10¹⁴ fi/s)

- Source A : Converter + target
- Neutron flux (direct + fission)
- Gamma prompt radiation
- Source B : Radioactive beam
- Gamma radiation (fission products)





In order to assess the hot spots, to define maintenance procedure, safety distance of operation, shielding, time duration in respect of the ALARA principle (MCNPX model, during operation)

> The highest intensities may be limited due to safety issues (not only pps of beam but also contamination)...







Transport of single charged ions Beam intensity up to 5 mA Highest transmission (rougthly 100 % at 1st order) Up to 60 keV $3 \le A \le 240$ amu Emittance $\le 80 \text{ m}$ mm.mrad Adapted angular and momentum acceptances Mass resolution : 300 resolving power

Beam controls mostly performed downstream - outside hot cell, difficult to control it inside (radiation, maintenance, mechanical integration) :

- Beam collimation, emittance limitation, transverse enveloppes matching
- Control of beam losses (BPM, pepperpots, FC)
- Radiation monitoring
- Beam purification with HRS (isobars suppression)
- Charge breeding
- Selective ions sources and adapted ECRIS settings (choice of supporting gas)

Serious commissioning required !

Spiral 2 Example of beam diagnostic



June 22th 2012

Spiral 2 Collaborations : LEBT and nuclear BL







Thank you