



# Tracing Time Scales of Fluid Residence and Migration in the Crust

R. Yokochi, N.C. Sturchio, R. Purtschert, W. Jiang, G.-M. Yang, P. Mueller, Z.-T. Lu, and B.M. Kennedy







### The Crust



- The Crust?
  - Every naturally occurring solid you can see on Earth
  - 5-50km thick from the surface
  - Geological extract containing high concentration of U, Th, K (Production of <sup>4</sup>He, <sup>21</sup>Ne, <sup>39</sup>Ar, <sup>40</sup>Ar, <sup>85</sup>Kr, <sup>131-136</sup>Xe)
- Fluids in the crust?
  - Groundwater (H<sub>2</sub>O)
  - Natural gases/Petroleum (Hydrocarbons)
  - Volatiles associated with magmatism (CO<sub>2</sub>-dominated)

## Fluids in the crust

#### **Evidence of interaction with the crust**

 Gradual addition of radiogenic/nucleogenic isotopes as flow distance increases



Torgersen et al., 1989 Lehmann et al., 2003



#### Geochemical system in the crust



## Geochemical system in the crust



## Outline

1. Introduction: Fluids in the Crust

2. Theoretical analysis of complex geochemical system

1. Case study at Yellowstone National Park

# **Complex Geochemical System?**

#### Processes

- Mixing
  - Episodic:
    - Magmatic volatiles
    - Atmosphere at different stages



#### Continuous addition of radioactive isotopes

C<sub>i</sub>(t)/C<sub>i</sub>(0)

Model:



For  $d^iC_R/dt = \alpha$  (constant):

$${}^{i}C(t) = {}^{i}C_{0} \times e^{-\ell_{i}t} + \frac{\partial}{\ell_{i}}(1 - e^{-\ell_{i}t})$$
  
Supply-decay equilibrium

#### **Modeled Isotopic abundance**

 $\alpha/(\lambda_i C_0)$ 10<sup>-2</sup> 0.1 -3 10 0.01 -4 10 0.001 10<sup>-5</sup>  $10^{-4}$  $\alpha = 0$ 10<sup>-5</sup> 2 8 10 12 6 14  $\text{Time}(t/t_{1/2})$ 

Notes:

- Input rate determined once in equilibrium (α/λ)
- No direct implication on "Age"

## Continuous addition of stable isotopes

Model:



## Evolution of isotope ratios

#### Model Modeled isotope ratios $(\lambda_i C_0)/\alpha$ ${}^{ij}R_F(t) = \frac{{}^{i}C(t)}{{}^{j}C(t)} = \frac{{}^{i}C_0 \cdot e^{-{}^{\prime}{}_{i}t} + \frac{\partial_i}{/}(1 - e^{-{}^{\prime}{}_{i}t})}{{}^{j}C_0 + \partial_j \cdot t}$ 1000 100 $R_F(t)/R_C$ $\rightarrow \frac{\partial_i / I_i}{{}^j C_0 + \partial_i \cdot t}$ 0.1 Steady-state 0.01 $\rightarrow \frac{a_i/a_j}{I_j \cdot t}$ $10^{-4}$ Initial correction 10 100 1000 0.1 $10^{4}$ Time $(t/t_{1/2})$ Notes: Input rate from radionuclide $\rightarrow \frac{{}^{g}R_{C}}{/...t}$ Common $\alpha$ Accumulation from stable isotope **New Chronometer!**

## Applicable system

#### Isotopes

- Radioactive isotopes produced in-situ
  - <sup>39</sup>Ar by <sup>39</sup>K(n,p)<sup>39</sup>Ar
  - <sup>85</sup>Kr by <sup>238</sup>U fission
  - No significant <sup>81</sup>Kr
- Stable isotope
  - <sup>4</sup>He and <sup>40</sup>Ar
  - Concentrations of other nonradiogenic stable isotopes in rocks are low
- Similar  $\alpha$  value: <sup>39</sup>Ar/<sup>40</sup>Ar

#### An example:



- Ar input from a reservoir rock with <sup>39</sup>Ar/<sup>40</sup>Ar\* ratio of 100 Ra.
- The ratio evolves independent of the input rate (for a constant <sup>39</sup>Ar/<sup>40</sup>Ar\* in the rock)

(GCA; Yokochi, Sturchio & Purtschert, 2012)

#### <sup>39</sup>Ar/<sup>40</sup>Ar\* Chronometer

#### Details

#### **Difficulties+**

**Closed system Estimate** 

- Age  $t_F \gg \frac{R_C}{R_E} \times \frac{R_C}{R_E}$
- Time range
  - Detectable <sup>40</sup>Ar/<sup>36</sup>Ar anomaly relative to initial
  - Detectable amount of <sup>39</sup>Ar
  - Up to a few Myr (>>1800 yrs)



- Deviation associated with gas loss
- Production rate?
- Extent of source rock?

(GCA; Yokochi, Sturchio & Purtschert, 2012)

# Krypton-85

# Is subsurface <sup>85</sup>Kr significant?

- Production Rates
  - <sup>85</sup>Kr: 2.5-8 ×10<sup>-4</sup> atoms/g/yr
  - <sup>39</sup>Ar: 0.04-0.9 atoms/g/yr
- <sup>85</sup>Kr/<sup>39</sup>Ar: 0.001 -0.01
  - Modern Air >> Subsurface
  - Surface contamination dominates <sup>85</sup>Kr budget
  - Good tracer of shallow contamination



## Summary 1: Model Study

- Subsurface-produced <sup>39</sup>Ar can serve as chronometer when combined with <sup>40</sup>Ar\*.
- <sup>85</sup>Kr and <sup>85</sup>Kr/<sup>39</sup>Ar ratio are ideal tracers of modern atmospheric contamination.
- There is no subsurface production of <sup>81</sup>Kr.





#### Case Study – Yellowstone National Park



## **Motivation**



 How much time does it take for the meteoric water to go down and come back up?



# Participants





### Fieldwork





## **Sampling Sites**

![](_page_18_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Sampling Method

![](_page_19_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Data

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

ATTA Laboratory, Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL 60439 (630)252-4123 www.phy.anl.gov/mep/atta/

![](_page_20_Picture_3.jpeg)

Atom Trap Trace Analysis (ATTA) Report

Report No. 002 Report Date 08 Dec 2011 Project Name Yellowstone

Samples supplied by: Neil Sturchio (UIC), Reika Yokochi (Chicago), Roland Purtschert (Bern)

We: fiamy 12-08-2011

Samples analyzed by: Wei Jiang, Guo-Min Yang, Peter Mueller, Zheng-Tian Lu (ANL)

ATTA trace No.	Sample No.	Sampling comments	Sampling Date	Size (micro-L)	ATTA Date	<sup>85</sup> Kr (dpm/cc)	<sup>81</sup> Kr sample / air	ATTA Lab comments
10014	\$12	Frying Pan Air, #41	20 Feb 2008	~10	01 Nov 2011	$56.2\pm2.6$	$1.03\pm0.057$	Local Air
10015	S15	Frying Pan	05 Mar 2008	~10	03 Nov 2011	$43.6\pm2.2$	$1.03\pm0.065$	
10016	S18	Ojo Caliente	18 Mar 2008	~10	06 Nov 2011	$36.9 \pm 1.9$	1.06 ±0.063	
10017	S14	Beryl	07 Mar 2008	~3.4	08 Nov 2011	51.1±3.1	$\textbf{0.971} \pm \textbf{0.090}$	(air contamination,%99.7 air, P_N2/P_Kr=250 on RGA)
10020	S17	MV1, #42	22 Feb 2008	~1.4	15 Nov 2011	33.4 ± 6.3	$1.66\pm0.33$	← Interesting!
10024	S4	MV2, #43	18 Feb 2008	~7	06 Dec 2011	58.9 ± 3.0	0.92 .061	(Sample cylinder valve leak)

#### Notes

- $^{85}$ Kr ( $t_{1/2} = 10.76 \pm 0.02$  yr) abundance is reported in the traditional unit of dpm/cc (decays per minute per cc STP of krypton).
  - Conversion: 100 dpm/cc corresponds to the isotopic at
  - The reported <sup>85</sup>Kr value is as measured on the ATTA a Need to be evaluated in future work te.
- <sup>81</sup>Kr ( $t_{1/2} = 229 \pm 11$  kyr) abundance is reported as the sample where  $t_{1/2} = 229 \pm 11$  kyr) abundance is reported as the sample where  $t_{1/2} = 229 \pm 11$  kyr) abundance is reported as the sample where  $t_{1/2} = 229 \pm 11$  kyr) abundance is reported as the sample where  $t_{1/2} = 229 \pm 11$  kyr) abundance is reported as the sample where  $t_{1/2} = 229 \pm 11$  kyr) abundance is reported as the sample where  $t_{1/2} = 229 \pm 11$  kyr) abundance is reported as the sample where  $t_{1/2} = 229 \pm 11$  kyr) abundance is reported as the sample where  $t_{1/2} = 229 \pm 11$  kyr) abundance is reported as the sample where  $t_{1/2} = 229 \pm 11$  kyr) abundance is reported as the sample where  $t_{1/2} = 229 \pm 11$  kyr) abundance is reported as the sample where  $t_{1/2} = 229 \pm 11$  kyr) abundance is reported as the sample where  $t_{1/2} = 229 \pm 11$  kyr) abundance is reported as the sample where  $t_{1/2} = 229 \pm 11$  kyr) abundance is reported as the sample where  $t_{1/2} = 229 \pm 11$  kyr) abundance is reported as the sample where  $t_{1/2} = 229 \pm 11$  kyr) abundance is reported as the sample where  $t_{1/2} = 229 \pm 11$  kyr) abundance is reported as the sample where  $t_{1/2} = 229 \pm 11$  kyr) abundance is reported as the sample where  $t_{1/2} = 229 \pm 11$  kyr) abundance is reported as the sample where  $t_{1/2} = 229 \pm 11$  kyr) abundance is reported as the sample where  $t_{1/2} = 229 \pm 11$  kyr) abundance is reported as the sample where  $t_{1/2} = 229 \pm 11$  kyr) abundance is reported as the sample where  $t_{1/2} = 229 \pm 11$  kyr) abundance is reported as the sample where  $t_{1/2} = 229 \pm 11$  kyr) abundance is reported as the sample where  $t_{1/2} = 229 \pm 11$  kyr) abundance is reported as the sample where  $t_{1/2} = 229 \pm 11$  kyr) abundance is reported as the sample where  $t_{1/2} = 229 \pm 11$  kyr) abundance is reported as the sample where  $t_{1/2} = 229 \pm 11$  kyr) abundance is reported as the sample where  $t_{1/2} = 229 \pm 11$  kyr) abundance is reported as the sample where  $t_{1/2} = 229 \pm 11$  kyr) abundance is reported as the sample w

## **Data Analysis**

#### **Other Data**

- Chemical composition (UIC)
  - $O_2 > \%$
  - Correction for air contamination during sampling
- Noble gas isotopes (LBNL)
  - <sup>3</sup>He/<sup>4</sup>He and <sup>40</sup>Ar/<sup>36</sup>Ar variation
  - Partial degassing (Kennedy et al., 1985)
- <sup>39</sup>Ar (Purtschert et al., 2009)
  - <sup>39</sup>Ar >> Atmospheric (up to ×6)

![](_page_21_Figure_10.jpeg)

## Discussions 1: <sup>85</sup>Kr

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Fraction of young air In the samples

- Clear contribution of modern <sup>85</sup>Kr
- Total: 36-74% Kr contamination during sampling = corrected
- Fraction of young air contribution depends on the age of the air component.
- Lower limit on the fraction of modern <sup>81</sup>Kr = Upper age limit goes up (Although measured values were atmospheric)

### Discussions 2: <sup>39</sup>Ar

# Reservoir Rocks and Production Rates

- Lava Creek Tuff
   Age: 640 kyr
   <sup>39</sup>Ar<sub>pro</sub>: 0.79 atoms/g/yr
   <sup>39</sup>Ar/<sup>40</sup>Ar\*=1.3×10<sup>5</sup> Ra
- Central Plateau Member Age: 160 kyr
   <sup>39</sup>Ar<sub>pro</sub>: 0.57 atoms/g/yr
   <sup>39</sup>Ar/<sup>40</sup>Ar\*=9.2×10<sup>4</sup> Ra

#### Fluid Data Analysis

$$t_F \gg \frac{R_C}{R_F} \times \frac{1}{I_{39}}$$

- Ages (for closed system)
  - Frying Pan: 130 kyr
  - Beryl Spring: 115 kyr
  - Ojo Caliente: 16 kyr
- External flux?
  - Ar from older reservoir=>younger age

# Summary 2: YNP

#### **Chronological Constraints**

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Geothermal activity over >100 kyr (<sup>39</sup>Ar age) if noble gas source is the reservoir rock
- <sup>81</sup>Kr age: Consistent with Ar age

#### Discussions

- The chronometer will improve if another parameter determines
  - The fraction (or age) of young meteoric component (<sup>81</sup>Kr)
  - The source of radiogenic/nucleogenic isotopes (<sup>39</sup>Ar) (reservoir rock vs. Pre-Cambrian basement)
- Systematic, multi-component study is essential for interpreting the complex system

### Conclusions

- Nucleogenic <sup>39</sup>Ar, an obstacle of ventilation age dating, can serve as a chronometer when combined with <sup>40</sup>Ar\*.
- Isotopic abundances of noble gas radionuclides in geothermal gases from Yellowstone National Park were analyzed for the first time, suggesting long water residence time in the crust
- Systematic, multi-component study is essential for interpreting the complex system
- <sup>39</sup>Ar/<sup>40</sup>Ar\*chronometer is applicable for old groundwater dating as well as tracing the time scale of fluid migration in a variety of scenarios including analogue and pilot studies of CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration and the formation of petroleum or natural gas reservoirs.
- Looking forward to new data!