Factorization of Drell-Yan at Low q_T TMDPDFs on-the-light-cone

Miguel G. Echevarría Universidad Complutense de Madrid



M.G. Echevarría, A. Idilbi, A. Schaefer, I. Scimemi, work in progress MGE, AI, IS, [arXiv: 1111.4996] MGE, Ahmad Idilbi, Ignazio Scimemi. Phys. Rev. D84 (2011) 011502. [arXiv:1104.0686]

> Drell-Yan Scattering and the Structure of Hadrons ECT*, Trento, May 21-25, 2012



Outline

- **★** Factorization Theorem for Drell-Yan at small q_T (using SCET):
- First matching step: separation of modes
 - Light-cone divergencies
 - **Definition of TMDPDFs on-the-light-cone** (vs Collins)
 - Properties of the newly defined TMDPDF
 - Free from Rapidity Divergencies
 - Universal
 - From TMDPDF to integrated PDF
- **★** Factorization Theorem for Drell-Yan at small q_T : Second matching step: OPE of the TMDPDF onto PDFs
 - Q² resummation <u>without</u> Collins-Soper evolution equation
 - Evolution: Anomalous Dimension at 2-loop and 3-loop
 - **Resummed TMDPDF and its evolution**
- **\star** Factorization Theorem for Drell-Yan at small q_T:

Final Factorization Theorem: Resummed Hadronic Tensor

 \star Conclusions and Outlook

What Is SCET?

[Bauer, Fleming, Pirjol, Stewart '01, BPS '02]

- Soft-Collinear Effective Theory is an effective theory of QCD
- SCET describes interactions between low energy (u)soft and collinear fields (very energetic in one light-cone direction)
- Expand the lagrangian in powers of $\lambda \sim p_{\perp}/p_{col} \ll 1$
- SCET captures all the IR physics of QCD: matching is possible
- SCET is useful to prove factorization theorems and resum large logs

 $\begin{array}{ll} p_{n}^{\mu} = Q(1,\lambda^{2},\lambda) & \textit{n-collinear} \\ p_{\bar{n}}^{\mu} = Q(\lambda^{2},1,\lambda) & \bar{n}\text{-collinear} \\ p_{us}^{\mu} = Q(\lambda^{2},\lambda^{2},\lambda^{2}) & \textit{ultrasoft (SCET-I)} \\ p_{s}^{\mu} = Q(\lambda,\lambda,\lambda) & \textit{soft (SCET-II)} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{ll} n^{2} = \bar{n}^{2} = 0 \,, & n \cdot \bar{n} = 2 \\ n^{\mu} = (1,0,0,1) \\ \bar{n}^{\mu} = (1,0,0,-1) \\ p^{\mu} = \bar{n} \cdot p \frac{n^{\mu}}{2} + n \cdot p \frac{\bar{n}^{\mu}}{2} + p_{\perp}^{\mu} \\ \equiv p_{\perp}^{\mu} + p_{\perp}^{\mu} + p_{\perp}^{\mu} \equiv (p^{+},p^{-},p_{\perp}) \end{array}$

 \Rightarrow We use SCET to factorize Drell-Yan at small q_T and define the TMDPDFs.



DY Factorization at Small q_T:

$$\begin{array}{l} QCD \ Matching \ onto \ SCET-q_T\\ d\Sigma = \frac{4\pi\alpha}{3q^2s} \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} \underbrace{1}_{4} \sum_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} \int d^4y e^{-iqy}(-g_{\mu\nu}) \langle N_1(P,\sigma_1)N_2(\bar{P},\sigma_2) | J^{\mu\dagger}(y) J^{\nu}(0) | N_1(P,\sigma_1)N_2(\bar{P},\sigma_2) \rangle \\ \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} QCD \ current \\ J^{\mu} = \sum_{q} e_q \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \psi \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad J^{\mu} = C(Q^2/\mu^2) \sum_{q} e_q \bar{\xi}_{\bar{n}} W_{\bar{n}}^T S_{\bar{n}}^{T\dagger} \gamma^{\mu} S_{\bar{n}}^T W_{\bar{n}}^{T\dagger} \xi_{\bar{n}} \end{array}$$

• (*T stands for Transverse Wilson line: all matrix elements are gauge invariant!!* [MGE, Idilbi, Scimemi '11])

• Collinear, anti-collinear and soft fields decouple:

$$\begin{split} J_n(y) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma_1} \langle N_1(P, \sigma_1) | \, \bar{\chi}_n(y) \, \frac{\not{n}}{2} \, \chi_n(0) \, | N_1(P, \sigma_1) \rangle |_{\text{zb subtracted}} \\ J_{\bar{n}}(y) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma_2} \langle N_2(\bar{P}, \sigma_2) | \, \bar{\chi}_{\bar{n}}(0) \, \frac{\not{n}}{2} \, \chi_{\bar{n}}(y) \, | N_2(\bar{P}, \sigma_2) \rangle_{\text{zb subtracted}} \\ S(y) &= \langle 0 | \, \text{Tr} \, \bar{\mathbf{T}} \big[S_n^{T\dagger} S_{\bar{n}}^T \big] (0^+, 0^-, y_\perp) \mathbf{T} \big[S_{\bar{n}}^{T\dagger} S_n^T \big] (0) \, | 0 \rangle \\ \chi_n &= W_n^T \end{split}$$

DY Factorization at Small qT: Gauge Invariance: T-Wilson Line

- By the inclusion of the T-Wilson line we make the TMDPDF gauge invariant in regular and singular gauges.
- In [MGE, Idilbi, Scimemi '11] SCET formalism was extended to include singular gauges.

Light-Cone Gauge:

$$\bar{n} \cdot A_n = 0$$

 $W_n = 1$
 $T_n(x) = \bar{P} \exp\left[ig \int_{-\infty}^0 d\tau \vec{l}_\perp \cdot \vec{A}_{n\perp}(x^+, \infty^-, \vec{x}_\perp + \vec{l}_\perp \tau)\right]$

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\hat{J}}_{n} &= \langle p | \left[\bar{\xi}_{n} W_{n} T_{n} \right] (0^{+}, y^{-}, \vec{y}_{\perp}) \frac{\not{h}}{2} \left[T_{n}^{\dagger} W_{n}^{\dagger} \xi_{n} \right] (0) | p \rangle & (0^{+}, \infty^{-}, \infty_{\perp}) \\ \tilde{S} &= \langle 0 | \operatorname{Tr} \left[S_{n}^{\dagger} T_{sn}^{\dagger} S_{n} T_{sn} \right] (0^{+}, 0^{-}, \vec{y}_{\perp}) \left[S_{n}^{\dagger} T_{sn}^{\dagger} S_{n} T_{sn} \right] (0^{+}, 0^{-}, \vec{0}_{\perp}) | 0 \rangle & \\ \tilde{F}_{f/P} &= \tilde{\hat{J}}_{n} \otimes \tilde{S}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{ is Gauge Invariant!!} & (0^{+}, y^{-}, y_{\perp}) \underbrace{W_{n}}_{(0^{+}, \infty^{-}, x_{\perp})} & \\ & (0^{+}, y^{-}, y_{\perp}) \underbrace{W_{n}}_{(0^{+}, \infty^{-}, x_{\perp})} & \\ & (0^{+}, 0^{-}, 0_{\perp}) & W_{n}^{\dagger} & (0^{+}, \infty^{-}, 0_{\perp}) \end{split}$$

6

DY Factorization at Small q_T:
Taylor Expansion

$$M = H(Q^2/\mu^2) \int d^4y \, e^{-iq \cdot y} J_n(y) J_{\bar{n}}(y) S(y)$$

• This result includes subleading contributions (in powers of 1/Q2): Taylor Expansion. The photon is hard: $y \sim \frac{1}{Q}(1, 1, \frac{1}{\lambda})$ $\begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial y^{-}, \frac{\partial }{\partial y^{+}}, \frac{\partial }{\partial y_{\perp}} \end{pmatrix} J_{n}(y) \sim Q(1, \lambda^{2}, \lambda)$ $\begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial y^{-}, \frac{\partial }{\partial y^{+}}, \frac{\partial }{\partial y_{\perp}} \end{pmatrix} J_{n}(y) \sim Q(\lambda^{2}, 1, \lambda)$ $\begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial y^{-}, \frac{\partial }{\partial y^{+}}, \frac{\partial }{\partial y_{\perp}} \end{pmatrix} J_{\bar{n}}(y) \sim Q(\lambda^{2}, 1, \lambda)$ $\begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial y^{-}, \frac{\partial }{\partial y^{+}}, \frac{\partial }{\partial y_{\perp}} \end{pmatrix} S(y) \sim Q(\lambda, \lambda, \lambda)$

$$M = H(Q^2/\mu^2) \int d^4y \, e^{-iq \cdot y} \left[J_n(0^+, y^-, \vec{y}_\perp) \, J_{\bar{n}}(y^+, 0^-, \vec{y}_\perp) S(0^+, 0^-, \vec{y}_\perp) \right]$$

• [Ji, Ma, Yuan '05] introduced this soft function with dependence ONLY on the transverse component [contrary to Collins' earlier works] *"by hand"*. We derive it!!

DY Factorization at Small q_T : **Double Counting**

$$M = H(Q^2/\mu^2) \int d^4y \, e^{-iq \cdot y} \, J_n(0^+, y^-, \vec{y}_\perp) \, J_{\bar{n}}(y^+, 0^-, \vec{y}_\perp) \, S(0^+, 0^-, \vec{y}_\perp)$$

• Taking the soft limit of the contribution of the collinear Wilson line:



• Because there is a double counting issue... So we need to subtract the soft function!!

$$M = H(Q^2/\mu^2) \int d^4y \, e^{-iq \cdot y} \, \frac{\hat{J}_n(0^+, y^-, \vec{y}_\perp)}{S(0^+, 0^-, \vec{y}_\perp)} \, \frac{\hat{J}_{\bar{n}}(y^+, 0^-, \vec{y}_\perp)}{S(0^+, 0^-, \vec{y}_\perp)} \, S(0^+, 0^-, \vec{y}_\perp)$$

DY Factorization at Small qT: 1st Matching Step Factorization of Modes

$$M = H(Q^2/\mu^2) \int d^4y \, e^{-iq \cdot y} \, \frac{\hat{J}_n(0^+, y^-, \vec{y}_\perp) \, \hat{J}_{\bar{n}}(y^+, 0^-, \vec{y}_\perp)}{S(0^+, 0^-, \vec{y}_\perp)}$$

We are not done yet!!

- This is **NOT** the final factorization theorem
- Up to now we have just separated the **modes**, not the long and short distance physics

• Now we **stop the derivation of the factorization theorem** to define the TMDPDF and show few of its properties.

- Later we will continue with the final steps towards the DY factorization theorem.
- Let's focus on one issue: rapidity divergencies
- It will lead us to the **definition of the TMDPDF**

Light-cone (Rapidity) Divergencies

• Collinear and soft Wilson lines give mixed UV/rapidity divergencies (RD):

- RD do NOT cancel even when we combine virtual and real diagrams.
- For PDF we have similar light-cone singularities, but they cancel between virtual and real.
 The RD coming from the soft function is double the one coming from the collinear (this is due to the double counting issue...).

Now...

- In QCD, the hadronic tensor M (partonic) is free from mixed divergencies.
- And actually it has even no rapidity divergencies

Operator Definition of the TMDPDF

• In order to cancel the mixed divergencies we define the TMDPDF as:

$$F_{f/P}(x,\vec{k}_{n\perp}) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{dr^- d^2 \vec{r_\perp}}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-i(\frac{1}{2}r^- xp^+ - \vec{r_\perp} \cdot \vec{k}_{n\perp})} \frac{\hat{J}_n(0^+,r^-,\vec{r_\perp})}{\sqrt{S(0^+,0^-,\vec{r_\perp})}}$$

• With these definition we get (in impact parameter space):

$$\tilde{M} = H(Q^2/\mu^2) \,\tilde{F}_{f/P}(x_1, b; Q^2, \mu) \,\tilde{F}_{\bar{f}/\bar{P}}(x_2, b; Q^2, \mu)$$

No soft function in the factorization theorem!! (Agreement with [Collins '11])

• Seven definitions of TMDPDF "in the market":

- Collins '82: just collinear (off-the-LC)
- ▶ Ji, Ma, Yuan '05: collinear with subtraction of complete soft function (off-the-LC)
- ▶ Cherednikov, Stefanis '08: collinear with subtraction of complete soft function (LC gauge)
- Mantry, Petriello '10: *fully unintegrated collinear matrix element*.
- Becher, Neubert '11: there is no definition of TMDPDF
- ▶ Collins '11: collinear with subtraction of square root of soft function (off-the-LC "strange")
- Chiu, Jain, Neill, Rothstein '12: collinear matrix element (Rapidity Regulator)

Free from Rapidity Divergencies

- Collinear and soft matrix elements have un-regularized divergencies.
- Old idea by Collins and Soper: go off-the-light-cone.

- But we choose a different path: stay on-the-light-cone and use another regulator
- This regulator does not distinguish between IR and LC divergencies
- All the properties of the TMDPDF are regulator-independent, of course!!

δ -Regulator

 $\frac{i(\not p + \not k)}{(p+k)^2 + i\Delta^-} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{k^- \pm i\delta^-}, \ \delta^- = \frac{\Delta^-}{p^+} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \mbox{[Chiu, Fuhrer, Hoang, Kelley, Manohar '09]} \end{array}$

Relation between regulators in propagators and Wilson lines



• Subtracting the square root of the soft function to the collinear:

$$\begin{split} F_{f/P,1}^{v} &= \frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}}{2\pi}\delta(1-x)\delta^{(2)}(\vec{k}_{T})\left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\rm UV}^{2}} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\rm UV}}\left(\frac{3}{2} + \ln\frac{\mu^{2}}{Q^{2}}\right)\right. \\ &\left. -\frac{3}{2}\ln\frac{\Delta}{\mu^{2}} - \frac{1}{2}\ln^{2}\frac{\Delta^{2}}{Q^{2}\mu^{2}} + \ln^{2}\frac{\Delta}{\mu^{2}} + \frac{7}{4} - \frac{\pi^{2}}{3}\right] \end{split}$$

Free from mixed divergencies!!

Results at One Loop (Real) + (a) $n \boxed{0000000000} n + n \boxed{0001} n + n \boxed{10001} n + n \boxed{10001} n + n \boxed{10001} n n$ (a)(b)(d)(c)

• Same story for reals: subtracting the square root of the soft function to the collinear, the rapidity divergencies cancel.

$$F_{f/P,1}^r = \frac{2\alpha_s C_F}{(2\pi)^{2-2\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{k_T^2} \left[(1-\varepsilon)(1-x) + \frac{2x}{(1-x)_+} + \delta(1-x) \ln \frac{Q^2}{k_T^2} \right]$$

The real part is independent of Δ -regulator!!

Universality of the TMDPDF

- Are the TMDPDFs for DIS and DY kinematics the same?
- Universality is needed to maintain the predictive power of perturbative QCD.



• Taking n-collinear and soft limits we get the following Wilson lines:

$$\tilde{W}_n(x) = \bar{P} \exp\left[-ig \int_0^\infty ds \,\bar{n} \cdot A_n(x+\bar{n}s)\right] \quad W_n(x) = \bar{P} \exp\left[ig \int_{-\infty}^0 ds \,\bar{n} \cdot A_n(x+s\bar{n})\right]$$
$$\tilde{S}_{\bar{n}}(x) = P \exp\left[-ig \int_0^\infty ds \,\bar{n} \cdot A_s(x+\bar{n}s)\right] \quad S_{\bar{n}}(x) = \bar{P} \exp\left[ig \int_{-\infty}^0 ds \,\bar{n} \cdot A_s(x+s\bar{n})\right]$$

• We have different Wilson lines for different kinematics.

Universality of the Soft Function

• Example: soft function for DY and DIS kinematics (virtual and real contributions)

$$S_{1}^{v,DY} = -2ig^{2}C_{F}\delta^{(2)}(\vec{k}_{n\perp})\mu^{2\varepsilon}\int \frac{d^{d}k}{(2\pi)^{d}} \frac{1}{[k^{+} - i\delta^{+}][k^{-} + i\delta^{-}][k^{2} + i0]} + h.c.$$

$$S_{1}^{v,DIS} = -2ig^{2}C_{F}\delta^{(2)}(\vec{k}_{n\perp})\mu^{2\varepsilon}\int \frac{d^{d}k}{(2\pi)^{d}} \frac{1}{[k^{+} + i\delta^{+}][k^{-} + i\delta^{-}][k^{2} + i0]} + h.c.$$

$$S_{1}^{r,DY} = -4\pi g^{2} C_{F} \int \frac{d^{d}k}{(2\pi)^{d}} \delta^{(2)}(\vec{k}_{\perp} + \vec{k}_{n\perp}) \delta(k^{2}) \theta(k^{+}) \underbrace{[k^{+} + i\delta^{+}][-k^{-} + i\delta^{-}]}_{[k^{+} - i\delta^{+}]} + h.c.$$

$$S_{1}^{r,DIS} = -4\pi g^{2} C_{F} \int \frac{d^{d}k}{(2\pi)^{d}} \delta^{(2)}(\vec{k}_{\perp} + \vec{k}_{n\perp}) \delta(k^{2}) \theta(k^{+}) \underbrace{[k^{+} - i\delta^{+}][-k^{-} + i\delta^{-}]}_{[k^{+} - i\delta^{+}]} + h.c.$$

$$S_1^{v,DIS} = S_1^{v,DY} + \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{2\pi} \delta^{(2)}(\vec{k}_T) \pi^2$$

$$S_1^{r,DIS} = S_1^{r,DY} - \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{2\pi} \delta^{(2)}(\vec{k}_T) \pi^2$$

• The soft function is universal!!

Universality of the Collinear

• For the naive collinear we get something similar:

$$\hat{J}_{n1}^{v,DIS} = \hat{J}_{\bar{n}1}^{v,DY} + \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{2\pi} \delta(1-x) \delta^{(2)}(\vec{k}_{nT}) \pi^2$$

$$\hat{J}_{n1}^{r,DIS} = \hat{J}_{\bar{n}1}^{r,DY} - \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{2\pi} \delta(1-x) \delta^{(2)}(\vec{k}_T) \pi^2$$

• It turns out that **both naive collinear and soft matrix elements are universal** (then also the pure collinear, as expected)



• Interestingly enough this result has never been established explicitly before.

From TMDPDF to PDF

- Can we recover the PDF from the TMDPDF by simple integration?
- Up to now this turned out to be elusive: [Ji, Ma, Yuan '04], [Cherednikov, Stefanis '08-'11]
- Taking the TMDPDF calculated in pure Dim. Reg. and integrating it:

$$\begin{split} \mu^{2\varepsilon} \int d^{2-2\varepsilon} \vec{k}_T \, F_{f/P}^v(x, k_T; Q^2, \mu) &= \\ \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{2\pi} \delta(1-x) \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\rm UV}^2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\rm UV}} \left(\frac{3}{2} + \ln \frac{\mu^2}{Q^2} \right) - \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\rm IR}^2} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\rm IR}} \left(\frac{3}{2} + \ln \frac{\mu^2}{Q^2} \right) \right] \\ \mu^{2\varepsilon} \int d^{2-2\varepsilon} \vec{k}_T \, F_{f/P}^r(x, k_T; Q^2, \mu) &= \\ \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{2\pi} \left\{ \left[\delta(1-x) \ln \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2} + \mathcal{P}_{q/q} - \frac{3}{2} \delta(1-x) \right] \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\rm UV}} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\rm IR}} \right) - \delta(1-x) \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\rm UV}^2} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\rm IR}^2} \right) \right\} \end{split}$$

$$\mu^{2\varepsilon} \int d^{2-2\varepsilon} \vec{k}_T \, F_{f/P}(x, k_T; Q^2, \mu) = \delta(1-x) + \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{2\pi} \mathcal{P}_{q/q}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\rm UV}} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\rm IR}}\right) = f_{q/P}(x; \mu)$$

We just need to regulate the TMDPDF and the PDF in the same way to get it.
Even including the soft function in the TMDPDF we still can recover the PDF

DY Factorization at Small q_T : 2nd Matching Step **OPE of TMDPDF onto PDFs**

• The TMDPDF has perturbative content when q_T is perturbative.

• We can do an OPE of the TMDPDF onto the PDFs in impact parameter space, integrating out the intermediate scale q_T . *Second matching step!!*

$$\tilde{F}_{f/P}(x,b;Q^2,\mu) = \sum_{j=q,g} \int_x^1 \frac{dx'}{x'} \,\tilde{C}_{f/j}(\frac{x}{x'},b;Q^2,\mu) \,f_{j/P}(x';\mu) + \mathcal{O}\left((\Lambda_{QCD}b)^a\right)$$

- Properties of the coefficients $C_{f/j}$:
 - Independent of the IR regulator (as any matching coefficient!!)
 - It is supposed to live at the intermediate scale q_T . All logs should be cancelled by one choice for the intermediate scale (like at threshold).
 - **BUT: it has a subtle Q²-dependence**

$$\begin{split} \tilde{C}_{f/q}(x,b;Q^2,\mu) &= \delta(1-x) + \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{2\pi} \left[-\mathcal{P}_{q/q} L_T + (1-x) \right. \\ \left. -\delta(1-x) \left(\frac{1}{2} L_T^2 - \frac{3}{2} L_T + \ln \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2} L_T + \frac{\pi^2}{12} \right) \right] \end{split} \qquad L_T = \ln \frac{\mu^2 b^2}{4e^{-2\gamma_E}} \end{split}$$

Accidentally, ln(Q²/μ²) can be eliminated by choosing μ, but NOT at higher orders.
Logs cannot be combined in one single log, like at threshold: *Resummation is needed!!*

Q²-Resumation (I)

• From the definition of the TMDPDF:

$$\ln \tilde{F}_{f/P} = \ln \tilde{\hat{J}}_n - \frac{1}{2} \ln \tilde{S}$$

• Using the Δ -regulator, Lorentz invariance and dimensional analysis:

$$\ln \tilde{\hat{J}}_n = \mathcal{R}_F \left(x; \alpha_s, L_T, \ln \frac{\delta^+}{p^+} = \ln \frac{\Delta}{Q^2} \right)$$
$$\ln \tilde{S} = \mathcal{R}_S \left(\alpha_s, L_T, \ln \frac{\delta^+ \delta^-}{\mu^2} = \ln \frac{\Delta^2}{Q^2 \mu^2} \right)$$

• Since the TMDPDF (Wilson coefficients and PDFs) is **free from rapidity divergencies** to all orders in perturbation theory:

$$rac{d {
m ln} ilde{F}_{f/P}}{d {
m ln} \Delta} = 0$$

This is analogous to Collins-Soper evolution equation!!



• The last equation implies that the naive collinear and the soft are linear in their last arguments, so we get the linearity in the $\ln(Q^2/\mu^2)$:

Independent
of Q²!!
$$\tilde{C}_{f/j}(x,b;Q^2,\mu) = \left(\frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}\right)^{-D(b;\mu)} \tilde{C}_{f/j}^{\mathcal{Q}}(x,b;\mu)$$
Independent
of Q²!!

• Applying RGE to the hadronic tensor we get:

$$\frac{dD(b;\mu)}{d{\rm ln}\mu} = \Gamma_{cusp}(\alpha_s)$$

• The Q²-factor is extracted for each TMDPDF individually.

• We do not need Collins-Soper evolution equation to resum the logs of Q².

• We know cusp AD at 3-loops, **SO we know D at 2-loops!!**

Evolution: Anomalous Dimension

• Applying the RGE to M we get the AD of the TMDPDF:

$$\tilde{M} = H(Q^2/\mu^2) \, \tilde{F}_{f/P}(x_1, b; Q^2, \mu) \, \tilde{F}_{\bar{f}/\bar{P}}(x_2, b; Q^2, \mu)$$

$$egin{aligned} rac{d \ln ar{M}}{d \ln \mu} &= 0 = \gamma_H + \gamma_n + \gamma_{ar{n}} & \gamma_H = A(lpha_s) \ln rac{Q^2}{\mu^2} + B(lpha_s) \ \gamma_n &= \gamma_{ar{n}} = -rac{1}{2} \gamma_H & ilde{F}_{f/P}\left(x, b; Q^2, \mu_f
ight) = \exp \left\{ \int_{\mu_i}^{\mu_f} rac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \gamma_n \left(lpha_s(\mu), \ln rac{Q^2}{\mu'^2}
ight)
ight\} ilde{F}_{f/P}\left(x, b; Q^2, \mu_f
ight) = \exp \left\{ \int_{\mu_i}^{\mu_f} rac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \gamma_n \left(lpha_s(\mu), \ln rac{Q^2}{\mu'^2}
ight)
ight\} ilde{F}_{f/P}\left(x, b; Q^2, \mu_f
ight) = \exp \left\{ \int_{\mu_i}^{\mu_f} rac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \gamma_n \left(lpha_s(\mu), \ln rac{Q^2}{\mu'^2}
ight)
ight\} ilde{F}_{f/P}\left(x, b; Q^2, \mu_f
ight) = \exp \left\{ \int_{\mu_i}^{\mu_f} rac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \gamma_n \left(lpha_s(\mu), \ln rac{Q^2}{\mu'^2}
ight)
ight\} ilde{F}_{f/P}\left(x, b; Q^2, \mu_f
ight) = \exp \left\{ \int_{\mu_i}^{\mu_f} rac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \gamma_n \left(lpha_s(\mu), \ln rac{Q^2}{\mu'^2}
ight)
ight\} ilde{F}_{f/P}\left(x, b; Q^2, \mu_f
ight) = \exp \left\{ \int_{\mu_i}^{\mu_f} rac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \gamma_n \left(lpha_s(\mu), \ln rac{Q^2}{\mu'^2}
ight)
ight\} ilde{F}_{f/P}\left(x, b; Q^2, \mu_f
ight) = \exp \left\{ \int_{\mu_i}^{\mu_f} rac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \gamma_n \left(lpha_s(\mu), \ln rac{Q^2}{\mu'^2}
ight)
ight\} ilde{F}_{f/P}\left(x, b; Q^2, \mu_f
ight) = \exp \left\{ \int_{\mu_i}^{\mu_f} rac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \gamma_n \left(rac{Q^2}{\mu_i}
ight)
ight\} ilde{F}_{f/P}\left(x, b; Q^2, \mu_f
ight) = \exp \left\{ \int_{\mu_i}^{\mu_f} rac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \gamma_n \left(rac{Q^2}{\mu_i}
ight)
ight\} ilde{F}_{f/P}\left(x, b; Q^2, \mu_f
ight) = \exp \left\{ \int_{\mu_i}^{\mu_f} rac{Q^2}{\mu_i}
ight\} ilde{F}_{f/P}\left(rac{Q^2}{\mu_i}
ight)
ight\} ilde{F}_{f/P}\left(rac{Q^2}{\mu_i}
ight\} ilde{F}_{f/P}\left(rac{Q^2}{\mu_i}
ight)
ight\} ilde{F}_{f/P}\left(rac{Q^2}{\mu_i}
ight)
ight\} ilde{F}_{f/P}\left(rac{Q^2}{\mu_i}
ight\} ilde{F}_{f/P}\left(rac{Q^2}{\mu_i}
ight)
ight\} ilde{F$$

$$\begin{split} \gamma_{n2} &= -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_{H2} = -\frac{1}{2}2\left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^2 \left\{ \left[\left(\frac{67}{36} - \frac{\pi^2}{12}\right)C_A - \frac{5}{18}N_f \right]C_F \ln\frac{Q^2}{\mu^2} \right. \\ &+ \left(\frac{13}{4}\zeta(3) - \frac{961}{16\times27} - \frac{11}{48}\pi^2\right)C_A C_F + \left(\frac{\pi^2}{24} + \frac{65}{8\times27}\right)N_f C_F + \left(\frac{\pi^2}{4} - \frac{3}{16} - 3\zeta(3)\right)C_F^2 \right\} \end{split}$$

• A and B are known up to three loops!!

• We have by free the AD of the TMDPDF at 3-loops!!

• Collins' AD can only be calculated at 1-loop, since there is no relation with the AD of the hard matching coefficient.

Resummed TMDPDF on-the-LC!!

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{F}_{f/P}\left(x,b;Q^{2},\mu=Q\right) = \overbrace{\sum_{j=q,g} \int_{x}^{1} \frac{dx'}{x'} \, \widetilde{C}_{f/j}^{Q}\left(\frac{x}{x'},b^{*};\mu_{b^{*}}\right) \, f_{j/P}(x';\mu_{b^{*}})}^{\mathbf{A}} \\ \times \underbrace{\exp\left\{-D\left(b^{*},\mu_{b^{*}}\right) \, \ln\frac{Q^{2}}{\mu_{b^{*}}^{2}} + \int_{\mu_{b^{*}}}^{\mu=Q} \frac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \left[\gamma_{n}\left(\alpha_{s}(\mu');\ln\frac{Q^{2}}{\mu'^{2}}\right)\right]\right\}}_{\mu_{b} = 2e^{-\gamma_{E}}/b} \qquad b^{*}(b) = b/\sqrt{1 + b^{2}/b_{max}^{2}} \end{split}$$

- We need to set a cutoff in b to avoid the Landau pole and separate perturbative from nonperturbative (NP) contributions.
- Match the TMDPDF onto PDFs for large k_T (small b).
- Use a NP model for small k_T (large b), for example BLNY.
- Running in µ and exponentiation of logs of Q². No Collins-Soper!!
- There are no un-physical or extra parameters like the rapidity of CS!!

Order	Accuracy $\sim \alpha_s^n L^k$	γ_q	γ_K	$ ilde{C}_n$	D	
LL	$n+1 \le k \le 2n \ (\alpha_s^{-1})$	tree	1-loop	tree	tree	Description
NLL (LO)	$n \leq k \leq 2n \left(lpha_s^0 ight)$	1-loop	2-loop	tree	1-loop	Previous
NNLL (NLO)	$n-1 \leq k \leq 2n \; (lpha_s)$	2-loop	3-loop	1-loop	2-loop	Future
NNNLL (NNLO)	$n-2 \leq k \leq 2n \ (lpha_s^2)$	3-loop	4-loop	2-loop	3-loop	

Evolution of the TMDPDF

$$\tilde{F}_{f/P}(x,b;Q_{f}^{2},\mu_{f}=Q_{f}) = \tilde{F}_{f/P}(x,b;Q_{i}^{2},\mu_{i}=Q_{i})$$

$$\times \exp\left\{ \underbrace{-D(b,\mu_{i})}_{Q_{i}^{2}} \ln \frac{Q_{f}^{2}}{Q_{i}^{2}} + \int_{\mu_{i}=Q_{i}}^{\mu_{f}=Q_{f}} \frac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \gamma_{n} \left(\alpha_{s}(\mu'), \ln \frac{Q_{f}^{2}}{\mu'^{2}} \right) \right\} \exp\left\{ g_{K}(b) \ln \frac{Q_{f}}{Q_{i}} \right\}$$

$$\tilde{F}_{f/P}^{Collins}(x,b;\zeta_{F,f},\mu_f=Q_f) = \tilde{F}_{f/P}^{Collins}(x,b;\zeta_{F,i},\mu_i=Q_i) \times \exp\left\{ \left(\tilde{K}(b,\mu_i) \ln \frac{\sqrt{\zeta_{F,f}}}{\sqrt{\zeta_{F,i}}} + \int_{\mu_i=Q_i}^{\mu_f=Q_f} \frac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \gamma_F\left(\alpha_s(\mu'),\ln \frac{\zeta_{F,f}}{\mu'^2}\right) \right\} \exp\left\{ g_K(b) \ln \frac{\sqrt{\zeta_{F,f}}}{\sqrt{\zeta_{F,i}}} \right\}$$

• Once one makes an ANSATZ over the values of zetas and relate them to Qs, Collins' evolution is the same as ours.

- The evolution of our TMDPDF is done entirely on-the-LC with just the hard probe Q.
- We do not need to evolve with Collins-Soper in any un-physical parameter like zeta.
- The evolution has a perturbative part and a non-perturbative part, because the NP model is scale-dependent.
- Our formalism is much more simple: on-the-light-cone!!
- Compatible with factorization theorem: we can resum logs for DY on-the-LC and without Collins-Soper, just through the "linearity" argument.
- We can go to higher orders in perturbation theory (3-loop AD by free!!).

DY Factorization at Small qT: Final Result Resummed Hadronic Tensor

• Finally, we get the factorization theorem for DY at low q_T :

$$\begin{split} M &= \int \frac{d^2 \vec{b}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-i \vec{q}_{\perp} \cdot \vec{b}_{\perp}} \int_x^1 \frac{dx'}{x'} \int_z^1 \frac{dz'}{z'} \sum_f e_f^2 \sum_{j=q,g} \sum_{j'=\bar{q},g} \left(f_{j/P}(x';\mu_{b^*}) f_{j'/\bar{P}}(z';\mu_{b^*}) \right) \tilde{M}^{NP}(x,z,b;Q,Q_0) \\ \times \left(H(Q^2/Q^2) \exp\left\{ \int_Q^{\mu_{b^*}} \frac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \gamma_H \right\} \right) \exp\left\{ -2D(b^*,\mu_{b^*}) \ln \frac{Q^2}{\mu_{b^*}^2} \right\} \tilde{C}_{f/j}^{\mathcal{Q}} \left(\frac{x}{x'}, b^*;\mu_{b^*} \right) \tilde{C}_{\bar{f}/j'}^{\mathcal{Q}} \left(\frac{z}{z'}, b^*;\mu_{b^*} \right) \right) \end{split}$$

- Short and long distance physics are finally separated (scales)
- We do not need Collins-Soper evolution equation to resum logs of Q².
- The resummed logs are the following:



Conclusions and Outlook

- We have derived the factorization theorem for DY at low q_T .
- We have defined the TMDPDF on-the-LC with the inclusion of the soft function (square root) in it.
- Its properties: free from rapidity divergencies, we can recover the integrated PDF, universal, gauge invariant.
- Its evolution is done only in terms of the hard probe Q.
- We know its AD at 3-loops based on the factorization theorem.
- Going off-the-LC has no special meaning, it is just a regulator (not Collins' way).
- We do not need Collins-Soper evolution equation (there are no rapidity logs). Instead we use the "linearity" argument.
- Generalization to other TMD quantities is straightforward: spin-dependent quantities (Sivers,...), gluon TMDPDF, etc

Back up slides

Matching with QCD

$$M_{QCD}^{v} = \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{2\pi} \delta(1-x)\delta(1-z)\delta^{(2)}(\vec{q}_{\perp}) \left[-2\ln^2 \frac{\Delta}{Q^2} - 3\ln \frac{\Delta}{Q^2} - \frac{9}{2} + \frac{\pi^2}{2} \right]$$

$$M_{SCET}^{v} = H(Q^{2}/\mu^{2}) \frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}}{2\pi} \delta(1-x)\delta(1-z)\delta^{(2)}(\vec{q}_{\perp}) \left[\frac{2}{\varepsilon_{UV}^{2}} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{UV}} \left(3 + 2\ln\frac{\mu^{2}}{Q^{2}} \right) - 2\ln^{2}\frac{\Delta}{Q^{2}} - 3\ln\frac{\Delta}{Q^{2}} + 3\ln\frac{\mu^{2}}{Q^{2}} + \ln^{2}\frac{\mu^{2}}{Q^{2}} + \frac{7}{2} - \frac{2\pi^{2}}{3} \right]$$

$$H(Q^2/\mu^2) = 1 + \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{2\pi} \left[-3\ln\frac{\mu^2}{Q^2} - \ln^2\frac{\mu^2}{Q^2} - 8 + \frac{7\pi^2}{6} \right]$$

$$\begin{split} M_{SCET}^{r} &= \frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}}{2\pi^{2}} \left[\delta(1-x)(1-z)\frac{1}{q_{T}^{2}} + \delta(1-z)(1-x)\frac{1}{q_{T}^{2}} + \delta(1-x)\frac{2z}{(1-z)_{+}}\frac{1}{q_{T}^{2}} + \\ &+ \delta(1-z)\frac{2x}{(1-x)_{+}}\frac{1}{q_{T}^{2}} + 2\delta(1-x)\delta(1-z)\frac{1}{q_{T}^{2}}\ln\frac{Q^{2}}{q_{T}^{2}} \right] = M_{QCD}^{r} \end{split}$$

Collins' TMDPDF (I)

• The collinear part is kept *on-the-LC*, while the soft subtraction has a complicated structure with 3 soft functions: one *on-the-LC* and 2 *off-the-LC*.

$$\tilde{F}_{f/P}(x,b;\zeta_A,\mu) = \tilde{F}_{f/P}^{\text{unsub}}(x,b;\mu) \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{S}(b;+\infty,y_n)}{\tilde{S}(b;+\infty,-\infty)\,\tilde{S}(b;y_n,-\infty)}}$$

• It can be rewritten as:



• Collins' TMDPDF has un-cancelled rapidity divergencies that come form the 2nd factor

- He uses them to resum the logs of Q² by Collins-Soper evolution equation.
- The ansatz for zetas has no meaning for individual TMDPDF (far off-the-LC!!). But when combined both, they cancel and get the Q² of the hadronic tensor. This facto motivates the choice for zetas.

Collins' TMDPDF (II)

• Collins' way of going off.the-LC is "strange".

• The parameter that parametrizes the "off-the-light-cones" should take two different limits at the same time in order to come back to the light-cone.

• Once he combines two resummed TMDPDFs into the hadronic tensor, the zetas cancel. Then one thinks to make an ansatz over their value for each individual TMDPDF.

$$egin{aligned} n_t &= (1, -e^{-2y_n}, 0_ot) & Two \ different \ limits \ for \ y_n \ ar{n}_t &= (-e^{2y_n}, 1, 0_ot) & to \ recover \ the \ LC!! \end{aligned}$$

• Collins takes a counter-term for the TMDPDF that includes rapidity divergencies, and this is why his AD depends on y_n :

$$\gamma_{n1}^{Collins} = \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{4\pi} \left[6 + 4\ln\frac{\mu^2}{Q^2 e^{-2y_n}} \right]$$

• If y_n is taken to be 0, then we are **far off-the-light-cone!!**

• The way of going off-the-light-cone of, for instance [Ji, Ma, Yuan '03], is different: just one limit of some parameter is necessary to come back to the light-cone.

Collins' TMDPDF vs Ours

$$\tilde{F}_{f/P}(x,b;\zeta_{F,f},\mu_{f}) = \underbrace{\sum_{j=q,g} \int_{x}^{1} \frac{dx'}{x'} \tilde{C}_{f/j} \left(\frac{x}{x'}, b^{*};\zeta_{F,i} = \mu_{b^{*}}^{2}, \mu_{i} = \mu_{b^{*}}\right) f_{j/P}(x';\mu_{b^{*}})}_{\mathbb{B}} \times \underbrace{\exp\left\{\tilde{K}(b^{*};\mu_{b^{*}}) \ln \frac{\sqrt{\zeta_{F,f}}}{\mu_{b^{*}}} + \int_{\mu_{i}=\mu_{b^{*}}}^{\mu_{f}} \frac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \left[\gamma_{F} \left(\alpha_{s}(\mu'); \ln \frac{\zeta_{F,f}}{\mu'^{2}}\right)\right]\right\}} \times \underbrace{\tilde{F}_{f/P}^{NP}(x,b;\zeta_{F,f},\zeta_{F,0})}_{\mathbb{F}}$$

• Ours is much more simple than Collins' formalism.

• Compatible with factorization theorem: we can resum logs for DY without Collins-Soper.

• We can go to higher orders in perturbation theory.

• Out TMDPDF can be calculated with any regulator, even going off-the-light-cone (ala Ji, Ma, Yuan '05, for instance)